© PHYCO SPECTRUM INC



Alleviation of Saline Stress by Gypsum in *Chlorella vulgaris* BEIJERINCK

Pratima Mathad and Shaila Hiremath

Department of Post Graduate Studies and Research in Botany,

Gulbarga University Gulbarga- 585106.

ABSTRACT

In the present study the interactive effect of NaCl and gypsum (CaSO_{4.}2H₂O_.) on biochemical contents of *Chlorella vulgaris Beijerinck* was investigated. In order to evaluate the role of gypsum in the alleviation of saline stress, *Chlorella* was treated with different concentrations (0.1-0.4M) of NaCl and NaCl fortified with gypsum (10mM) besides control. The study revealed that the total chlorophyll contents increased upto 0.2M thereafter it was declined. While addition of gypsum (10mM) showed significant promotion in the total chlorophyll contents at higher levels too. The protein contents gradually decreased in all the concentrations of NaCl but gypsum treatments promoted their contents. While NaCl treatments increased the accumulation of proline in all the concentrations but glycinebetaine increased up to 0.3 M thereafter declined. However addition of gypsum decreased proline and glycinebetaine contents. The study revealed that gypsum treatment could alleviate the adverse effect of salinity on *Chlorella vulgaris*.

Key words: Chlorella, Gypsum, Glycinebetaine NaCl, Proline,

INTRODUCTION:

Salinity is one of the major obstacles for throughout the world. It has become an

increasing production of crops

ever-increasing problem in irrigated

areas. However salinity is also considered as one of the maior constraints on species diversity and productivity of algae (Booth and Beardall 1991; Chen and Plant 1999). There are several studies on the effect of NaCl microorganisms. Many on attempts have been undertaken to counteract the adverse effects of salt stress by using chemical amendments. However gypsum is the most commonly used agricultural amendment for the alleviation of saline stress. Muhammad, (1998) reported that, use of gypsum (CaSO4.2H2O) as a source of Ca2+ is a well established practice for the

amelioration and management of Na+ saturated water/ soils. Calcium is an important factor in the resistance of plants to salinity. Abdel-Basset (1993) found that,Ca2+ in certain ratios to Na+ reversed most of NaCl stress symptoms in *Chlorella vulgaris*. However, Lilley (1971) made an observation that, salt tolerance can be increased by the addition of Ca salts in higher plants. In the present study, an attempt has been made investigate whether to supplementary gypsum can alleviate the adverse effects of saline stress on Chlorella vulgaris Beijerinck.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The organism used in the present study i.e., *Chlorella vulgaris* Beijerinck was isolated from the university garden soil. De's modified Beneck's medium was found best suited for the growth of the alga in the laboratory. The cultures were maintained in the culture room at temperature of $26 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C.

Further to study the impact of NaCl and role of gypsum in alleviation of saline stress the experiments were carried out in 250ml conical flasks, contained 100ml of Bold's basal medium. In one set the cultures were treated with different concentrations of NaCl such as 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4M besides control i.e., no NaCl and in another set different concentrations of NaCl fortified with gypsum (10mM).Similarly, the last set which contained gypsum alone and kept for observation for about 30 days.

The samples were drawn periodically during growth (10th, 20th and 30th day) from control and different concentrations of NaCl and NaCl fortified with gypsum and gypsum alone and were subjected for the analysis of biochemical parameters. The total chlorophyll contents were measured according to the method of Arnon (1949), total proteins by the method of Lowry *et al.* (1951), proline was determined according to the method of Bates *et al.* (1973) and Glycinebetaine was estimated by the method of Barak and Tuma (1981). All the experimental results were analyzed and compared by Anova using SPSS Package version 10.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results indicated that the total chlorophyll contents increased at lower concentrations (0.1 and 0.2M) of NaCl when compared to control but it was decreased at higher (0.3 and 0.4M) concentrations for all the cultures studied (Table1).According to Moradi and Ismail (2007) reduced chlorophyll contents at higher salinities are due to decrease in photosynthetic rate because of salt osmotic and toxic ionic stress.

While the cultures treated with gypsum showed increase in the chlorophyll contents at higher levels too, the increase in chlorophyll contents may be due to addition of gypsum. Shaddad *et al.*, (1989) observed enhanced pigment biosynthesis when higher plants exogenously supplied with vitamins. However Aslam *et al.*, (1996) made an observation that growth inhibition because of salt induced nutritional imbalance can be minimized with the supply of Ca along with plant nutrients.

Table-1: Effct of NaCl ,gypsum (10mM) and NaCl plus gypsum on totalChlorophyll and total proteins contents of Chlorella vulgaris Beijerinck.

	Total chlo	orophyll (µg/g	FW)	Total proteins(µg/g FW)				
Con. of NaCI	10 th day	20 th day	30 th day	10 th day	20 th day	30 th day		
Control	46.0 <u>+</u> 0.03	55.0 <u>+</u> 0.03	72.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	73.0 <u>+</u> 0.04	90.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	104.0 <u>+</u> 0.02		
0.1M	58.0 <u>+</u> 0.04	67.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	89.0 <u>+</u> 0.03	58.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	70.0 <u>+</u> 0.04	81.0 <u>+</u> 0.03		
0.2M	72.0 ± 0.02	88.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	101.0 <u>+</u> 0.03	41.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	52.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	61.0 <u>+</u> 0.01		
0.3M	31.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	42.0 ± 0.02	56.0 <u>+</u> 0.04	30.0 ± 0.04	38.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	29.0 ± 0.02		
0.4M	19.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	28.0 <u>+</u> 0.04	30.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	12.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	20.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	18.0 <u>+</u> 0.03		
Gypsum only	48.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	58.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	75.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	76.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	92.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	07 <u>+</u> 0.03		
Sing								

10mM

0.1M+10mM	76.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	95.0 <u>+</u> 0.03	113 <u>+</u> 0.03	98.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	112.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	120.0 <u>+</u> 0.03
0.2M+10mM	93.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	118 <u>+</u> 0.01	128 <u>+</u> 0.01	114.0 <u>+</u> 0.03	126.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	132.0 <u>+</u> 0.01
0.3M+10mM	115.0 <u>+</u> 0.04	130 <u>+</u> 0.03	143 <u>+</u> 0.02	129.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	139.0 <u>+</u> 0.03	146.0 <u>+</u> 0.02
0.4M+10mM	132.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	147 <u>+</u> 0.01	158 <u>+</u> 0.03	141.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	152.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	162.0 <u>+</u> 0.01

Each value is expressed as mean \pm S. D. (n=3) X Statistically significant at P < 0.05.

FW=Fresh Weight

Table-2: Effect of NaCl, gypsum (10mM)and NaCl plus gypsum on proline and glycinebetaine contents of Chlorella vulgaris Beijerinck.

Proline(µg/gFW)

Glycinebetaine(µg/gFW)

Con. Of NaCl	10 th day	20 th day	30 th day	10 th day	20 th day	30 th day
Control	30.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	46.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	62.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	69.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	78.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	99.0 <u>+</u> 0.01
0.1 M	58.0 <u>+</u> 0.04	69.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	96.0 <u>+</u> 0.04	98.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	110.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	130.0 <u>+</u> 0.02
0.2 M	79.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	98.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	120.0 ± 0.02	122.0 ± 0.02	135.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	146.0 <u>+</u> 0.03
0.3 M	98.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	119.0 <u>+</u> 0.03	138.0 <u>+</u> 0.03	141.0 <u>+</u> 0.03	149.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	151.0 <u>+</u> 0.01

0.4 M	115.0 <u>-</u>	<u>+</u> 0.01	131.0 <u>-</u>	<u>+</u> 0.02	150.0	<u>+</u> 0.03	102.0	0 ± 0.02	110	.0 <u>+</u> 0.03	121.0 ± 0.02
Gypsum only (10mM)	31.0 <u>+</u>	0.02	48.0 <u>+</u>	0.02	64.0 <u>-</u>	<u>+</u> 0.03	70.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.01	80	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	99.0 <u>+</u> 0.01
0.1M+10)mM	33.0 <u>+</u>	0.03	40.0 <u>+</u> 0).03	49.0 <u>+</u> 0	.03	59.0 <u>+</u> 0.0	03	61.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	71.0 <u>+</u> 0.01
0.2M+10)mM	27.0 <u>+</u>	0.02	31.0 <u>+</u> 0).01	38.0 <u>+</u> 0	.02	42.0 <u>+</u> 0.0	02	50.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	60.0 <u>+</u> 0.02
0.3M+10)mM	20.0 <u>+</u>	0.03	22.0 <u>+</u> 0).04	27.0 <u>+</u> 0	.01	30.0 <u>+</u> 0.0	01	41.0 <u>+</u> 0.01	51.0 <u>+</u> 0.01
0.4M+10)mM	12.0 <u>+</u>	0.01	15.0 <u>+</u> 0	0.02	19.0 <u>+</u> 0	.03	21.0 <u>+</u> 0.0	02	25.0 <u>+</u> 0.02	31.0 <u>+</u> 0.03

Each value is expressed as mean \pm S. D. (n=3) X Statistically significant at P < 0.05. FW=Fresh Weight

Chlorella exhibited decline in the total protein contents in all the concentrations of NaCl up to 30th day (Table 1). The present results are in agreement with the results of Rahman *et al.* (2005). Hageman *et al.* (1990) found complete blockage of protein synthesis in cyanobacteria. But the gypsum treated cultures indicated increased protein

content in all the concentrations. Similarly many previous studies reported application of thiamin that (**B** $_{1})$ enhanced protein accumulation in Chlorella vulgaris and Ankistrodesmus falcatus (Makled, 1995). It is interesting to note that proline content increased in all the concentrations of NaCl (Table 2). The accumulation of proline may be one

of the major mechanisms of salinity tolerance by the alga. According to the observations of Imamul-Hug and Larther (1985), accumulation of proline may be considered as an indication of a salt induced water deficit. Chlorella treated with gypsum exhibited decline in the proline content. This is in agreement with the results obtained by Ahmed et al 1989. This decrease may be due to the role of gypsum in modifying salt stress. Glycinebetaine is the principle solute in highly salt tolerant halophilic forms. It was also increased with increase in NaCl concentrations up to 0.3M and thereafter declined (Table 2). Record et al. (1988) reported that, osmolytes like glycinebetaine and proline increase the cytoplasmic volume and free water content and permit cell proliferation under unfavorable conditions. Several species of marine algae have been reported to contain glycinebetaine as a stabilizing osmolyte. Reed et al. (1984) reported that, glycinebetaine is characteristically found in most of the cyanobacteria under inhospitable conditions .However gypsum treatment reduced glycinebetaine content by modifying salt stress. The gypsum alone did not show much change in any of the cultures studied when compared to control.

CONCLUSION :

The results of the present study indicated that application of gypsum increased the chlorophyll and protein contents in all the concentrations of NaCl. However proline and glycinebetaine were reduced by the application of gypsum. In conclusion, application of gypsum the could alleviate the adverse effects of salinity and increase tolerance of alga to salinity

by modifying saline stress with reduced proline and glycinebetaine contents.

Acknowledgements: The authors are thankful to the Chairman, Department of Botany, Gulbarga University, Gulbarga for providing the necessary facilities.

REFERENCES

Abdel-Basset R. 1993. Role of calcium and calmodulin antagonist in Photosynthesis and salinity tolerance in *Chlorella vulgaris.* - Biologia plant. 35: 237-244.— & ISSA A. A. 1994. Membrane stabilization and survival of dehydrated *Chlorella fusca* cells induced by calcium. - Biologia plant. 36: 389-395.

Abdel- Rahman M. H. M ,Ali R .M and H. A Said . Alliviation of NaCl –induced effects on Chlorella vulgaris and chlorococcum humicola by riboflavin application.Int.j. Agri. Biol. Vol.7, 58-62.

Ahmed A. M., Radi A. F., Heikal M. D. & Abdel-Basset R. 1989. Effect of Na-Ca combinations on photosynthesis and some related processes of *Chlorella vulgaris*. - J. Plant Physiol. 135: 175-178.

Aslam, M. ,TJ. Flowers, R. H. Qureshi and A.R. yeo, 1996. Interaction of phosphate and salinity on the growth and yield of rice (Oryza sativa L.).j. Agron.Crop Sci., 176:249-58.

Arnon, D.I. 1949. Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplast polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*, Plant Physiol. 24: 1-15.

Barak, A.J. and Tuma, D.J. 1981. Determination of choline, phosphoryl choline and betaine. In: J.M. Lowstein (ed.), methods of Enzymology, Academic Press, New York, 72: 287-292.

Bates, L.S., Waldeen, R.P. and Teare, I.D. 1973. Rapid estimation of free proline for water stress studies. Plant Soil, 39: 205-208.

Booth WA, Beardall J (1991) Effect of salinity on inorganic carbon utilization and carbonic anhydrse activity in the halotolerant alga Dunaliella salina (Chl orophyta). Physiologia 30:220-225.

Chen CS, Plant AL(1999) Salt induced protein synthesis in tomato roots. J Exp Bot 50:667-687.

Hagemann, M.L., Wolfel and Kruger, B., 1990. Alterations of proteins synthesis in *Syncechocytes* sp. PCC 6803 after salt shock. J. Gen. Microbiol., 136: 1390-1399.

Imamul-Hug S.M. and Larther, F. 1985. Dynamics of Na⁺, K⁺ and proline accumulation in salt treated *Vigna sinensis* (L) and *Phaseolus aureus* (L). J Plant Physiol, **119:**133-147.

Lilley, R.M.AND A.B HOPE. 1971. Chloride transport and photosynthesis in cells of GriffithsiaBiochim.Biophis.Acta 94:64-76. Moradi, M and A.M. Ismail, 2007. Responses of Photosynthesis, chlorophyll fluorescence and ROS – Scavenging 1173.

Muhammad, N., 1998. Salt tolerance of rice(Oryza sativa) as affected by nutrient supply. Ph.D. Thesis, Univ.Agric. Faisalabad. Pakistan.

Record, M.T. Jr., Couternay, E.S., Cayley, S. and Guttman, J.H. 1998. Biophysical compensation mechanisms buffering *E. coli* proteins, nucleic acid interactions against changing environment. Trend Biochem. Sci. 23:190-194.

Makled, M.M., 1995. Physiological studies on some species of unicellular green algae with special reference to protein production. Pp.156.M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of sciences, Menoufia University, Egypt. Reed, R.H., Chudek, J.A., Foster, R. and Stewart, W.D.P. 1984. Osmotic adjustment in cyanobacteria from hyper saline environments. Arch. Microbiol. **138:** 333-337.

Shaddad, M.A., A.M. Ahmed, A.M. Abdel –Rahman and M. M. azooz,1989. Effect of ascorbic acid and pyridoxine on some salt stressed crop plant . 1-Changes in plant water relations, growth, photosynthetic pigments and mineral composition. Sohog pure and Appl.Sci.Bull.Fac.Sci., 5:102-22.

Yemm, E.W. and Willis, A.J. 1954. The estimation of carbohydrates in plant extracts by anthrone. Biochem. J. 57: 508-514.