

# Management of barren land soil using waste algal residue and agricultural residue

Sharmita Gupta, Shubhi Gupta, Manisha, Sakshi Sharma

Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Dayalbagh Educational Institute, Dayalbagh road, Agra-282110

#### Abstract

The research reported here provides an information of significant effects of algal residue left after extraction of algal oil and agricultural wheat residue in its biofortified form as compost on physico-chemical properties of barren land soil including crop growth. About 400 million tones of crop residue are produced in India alone. In areas where mechanical harvesting is practiced, a large quantity of crop residue are left in crop fields, which can be recycled for nutrients supply. To avoid the problem of disposal of crop residue the farmers resort to burning of crop residues which not only leads to loss of huge biomass but also cause environmental pollution additionally cause killing of beneficial soil insects and microorganisms. The recycling of organic residue has advantage of converting surplus farm wastes into useful products for meeting properties. So the endeavour was to benefit the agricultural sector by using and recycling the waste organic residues with the added benefit to controlling the pollution level too.

Keywords: Algal residue, Algal oil, Agricultural residue, Biofortified, Compost, Environmental Pollution Recycling, Farm Wastes.

#### Introduction:

Organic farming is the form of agriculture that relies on crop rotation, green manure, compost, biological pest control and mechanical cultivation to maintain soil productivity and control pests, excluding the use of synthetic fertilizers. Present study was therefore, planned with the objective of reclaiming the barren land soil using the waste algal residue left after extraction of algal oil and waste agricultural residue as compost.

The agricultural residue are good sources of plant nutrients and are important competent for stability of agricultural ecosystem. About 400 million tones of crop residue is produced in India alone. In areas where mechanical harvesting is practiced, a large quantity of crop residue are left in the crop fields which can be recycled for nutrients supply. To avoid the problem of disposal the farmers resort to burning of crop residues which not only leads to loss of huge biomass but also cause environmental pollution additionally cause killing of beneficial soil insects and microorganisms. However, unlike removal or burning, incorporation of organic residue builds up soil organic matter, soil N and increase the total and available P and K contents of soil. the recycling of organic residues has the advantage of converting the surplus farm wastes into useful products for meeting the nutrients requirements of crops.

Composting is the natural biological process carried out under controlled aerobic conditions. Organic matter is metabolized by microorganisms and consumed by invertebrates. The resulting nutrients are retained to the soil to support plant growth.

In addition to being a source of plant nutrient, organic residues improves the physico-chemical and biological properties of soil related to their bulk and particle density, particle size distribution and water holding capacity, buffering action, quantity and quality of nutrients and favourable pH value. Amongst other improvements, the soil becomes more resistant to stresses such as draught, diseases and toxicity with overall improvements in uptake

of plant nutrients. The soil processes and active nutrient cycling capacity increases because of vigorous microbial activity. So the endeavor is to benefit the agricultural sector by using and recycling the waste organic residues with the added benefit of controlling the pollution level too.

### Materials and methods

The barren land soil was collected from the road side area where no vegetation was found. The pretreatment soil analysis was done for different physico-chemical properties such as the soil pH by pH meter, organic carbon by Walkley Black's rapid titration method, C:N ratio by Kjeldahl's method, moisture content, water holding capacity.

For the post treatments soil analysis same physico-chemical properties were estimated.

*Treatments:* three treatments were given to the barren land soil.

### Results

The barren land soil was treated with different organic residues and following observations were made by comparing (1) Treatment of barren land soil with algal residue-The soil and the algal residue were mixed in ratio of 4:1.

- (2) Treatment with agricultural residue-
  - A pit of  $10 \text{ cm}^2$ , 15 cm depth was dug in ground and was filled with multiple layers of wheat residue, cow dung and barren land soil. 3-5 liter water was poured on the pit and covered with the plastic seat completely for 3 months and then used for observations of different plant growth.
- (3) In combined treatment, a mixture of algal residue and barren land soil was prepared in 1:4 ratio and it was mixed with the compost in ration of 1:1. Now 3 pots of approximately 300 gm capacity were taken for each treatment and eight seeds of *Glycine max, Cicer arienticum* and *Capsicum annum* were sown separately.

g certain physico-chemical properties of untreated soil (control) with treated soil (Table 1) and by observing different growth parameters in certain seeds (Table 2).

Physicochemical properties	Control	Algal residue treated	Agricultural compost	Combined
Soil pH	6.1	7.1	6.8	7.0
Percentage Moisture content	1.94	4.24	9.63	10.94
Water holding capacity	40.19	63.17	70.68	82.68
Organic carbon	-0.28	1.60	2.20	1.28
C:N ratio	11:1	17:1	20:1	18:1

### Table 1: Changes in physicochemical properties of barren land soil



Fig 1.Graphic analysis of physicochemical properties

Parameters	Plants		_	
		Soybean	Gram	Chilli
	Treatments			
1.Percentage	Control	-	12.5	-
Seed	Algal residue	75.0	37.5	62.5
germination	Compost	75.0	75.0	50.0
	Combined	87.5	100.0	75.0
2. Shoot height	Control	-	-	-
( <b>cm</b> )	Algal residue	8.7	16.0	7.5
(After 15 days)	Compost	10.2	18.2	5.0
	Combined	14.0	18.0	7.8
3. Root length	Control	-	-	-
( <b>cm</b> )	Algal residue	5.0	3.0	2.0
(After 15 days)	Compost	7.0	5.3	3.0
	Combined	6.2	8.3	4.2
4. Number	Control	-	-	-
of	Algal residue	6	11	2
leaves	Compost	8	13	3
	Combined	12	14	3

## Table 2: Observations for growth parameters in selected seeds







Fig.4. Graphic analysis for root length

### Discussion

The different physicochemical properties such as soil pH, percentage moisture content, water holding capacity, organic carbon, C:N ratio were estimated(Table1). The soil pH which was near acidic in control was shifted towards the neutrality in all the treated samples . A study done by M. Saber et al., (2010) also revealed that initial neutral pH values of different composted residue were slightly shifted towards acidity before settling around neutrality. the soil moisture content was found to be increased from 1.94% in control to 4.24%, 9.63% and 10.94% in algal residue treated, agricultural compost and combined treatment respectively. The incorporation of organic residue also leads to reduction of evaporation of the soil water (Mandal et al., 2004). The water holding capacity in the untreated soil was very low in comparison to the treated samples as in algal residue treated soil 63.17%, agricultural compost 70.69%, combined treatment 82.68%. the central role of soil organic carbon in maintaining soil function and plant productivity in agro-ecosystem has long been recognized. The organic carbon in untreated soil was found to be a negative value -0.28%. Marten (2000) also reported a

Fig.5.Graphic analysis for number of leaves

negative value of organic carbon and nitrogen in the soil not treated with the plant residues. The organic carbon content of the soil can be increased by incorporation of the organic residues. In present work, the algal residue and agricultural compost have proved to increase the organic carbon upto 1.6-2.2% from the initial extensively low value in control. The 2 elements in organic matter which are extensively important, especially in their relation or proportion to each other are C and N. This relation is called C:N ratio. The C:N ratio in organic matter means the amount of carbon relative to amount of nitrogen present. The C:N ration in control was estimated as 11:1 and the ratio was shifted to a better range in treated soil viz. 17:1, 20:1, 18:1 in algal residue treated, agricultural com[post and combined treatment respectively.

The growth efficiency parameters viz: percentage seed germination, shoot height, root length and number of leaves were also observed (Table 2). The results in the combined treatment of organic residue were extremely good in comparison to other and in untreated soil it was least. In

case of control only one gram seed was germinated and it was died due to the lack of nutrients required.

From the studies done, we can observe that in case of algal treatment the soil fertility increased due to an increase in soil pore with having the filamentous structure, there was increased biomass after their death and decomposition. The salinity of soil would be reduced (Wilson, 2006). The increased water holding capacity can be through the jelly structure of alga (Roger and Reynaun, 1982).

Prakash *et al.*, (2007) has evaluated that towards this end of agriculture solid waste compost could serve as a valuable organic matter source give the shortage of organic nutrient source. According to Iyenger and Bhave (2005), the key feature of composting is the generation of heat by biological activities during decomposition of the substrate materials. The composting temperature kills the pathogen and weed seeds. Because of these positive effects the organic residue of algal and agricultural can prove to be extremely valuable towards the agricultural sector for a good quality and quantity of the crop.

### Conclusions

The present study with respect to reclaiming the barren land soil by using the waste algal residue left after extraction of algal oil and agricultural wheat residue in biofortified form showed consistently significant results. The pH of soil was shifted from near acidic to neutrality besides that the moisture content, water holding capacity, organic carbon and C:N ratio was also increased on adding the organic residues as compare to the control which is a good indicator for crop productivity. The organic carbon in the barren land soil was estimated by Kjeldahl's and Walkley Black's method and found as -0.28 % to 0.1% whereas in treated soil the organic carbon was shifted to 1.28-2.2%, the C:N ratio was also unfavourable in barren land soil (11:1) and after the treatment it increased towards 17:1 to 20:1. The growth efficiency parameters such as percentage seed germination, shoot height, root length and number of leaves were also found to be favourable for growth of different plants. The results for different seed germination and crop production in control were negative due to the deficiency of required moisture content, water holding capacity, organic carbon, C:N ratio. By the study it is clear that the incorporation of waste organic residues in barren land soil can convert it into a fertile soil as these treatments were able to increase the range of all the required nutrients and were also successful to fulfill the physical, chemical and biological requirements needed in a healthy soil.

### References

- Board, N. 2004 The complete Technology Book on Biofertilizer and Organic Farming, New Delhi.
- Choudhury, A.T.M.A., Kennedy, I.R. 2005 Nitrogen fertilizer losses from rice soils and control of environmental pollution problems. *Communications in soil science and plant analysis.* 36:1625-1639
- Hayashi, T., Hayashi, K., Maeda, M. and Kojima, I. 1996 Calcium spirulan, an inhibitor of enveloped virus replication, from a blue-green alga Spirulina platensis. Journal of natural products.59(1): 83-87
- Iyenger, S.R., Bhave, P.P. 2005 In-vessel composting of household wastes. *Waste manage*. 26:1070-1080
- Kemka, H.O, Rebecca, Aa and Gideon, O.A. 2007 Influence of temperature and pH Bioresource and protein biosynthesis in putative Spirulina sp. *Bio Resource Technol.* 98: 2207-2211
- Kulshreshtha, Ekta., Deepika M., Singh Kavitha, Rao Roshini 2010 Effect of different Nitrogen sources on the growth and biochemical parameters of *Capsicum annum* variety. *Carmelight*, 7:17-36
- Ladygina, V.P. and Gurevich, I.L. 2000 Protist plankton from the Khanka lake [Protitoplankton ozera Khanka]. Izvestiia Akademii Nauk. Seriia Biologicheskaia Akademiia Nauk(3):361-367
- Kononova, M.W. 1966 Soil organic matter. *Pergamon Press, New York*. p.544
- Litter M.M., Litter, Brooks, B.L. and Lapointe, B.E. 2006 Nutrient manipulation methods for coral reef studies: a critical review and experimental field data. *Journal of experimental marine biology and ecology*.336(2):242-253
- Malik F.R., Ahmed S., Rizki Y.M. 2001 Utilization of lignocellulosic waste for the preparation for the preparation of nitrogenous biofertilizer. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Science*,4: 1217-1220
- Martens Dean A. 2000 Plant residue biochemistry regulates soil carbon cycling and carbon sequestraction. Soil biology & biochemistry 32:361-369
- Mandal Krishna G., Mishra Arun K., Hati Kunta M., Bandyopadhyay Kali K., Ghosh Prabir K. and Mohanty Manoranjan 2004 Rice residue – management options and soil properties and crop

productivity. *Food, Agriculture & Environment.*2(1):224-231

- Mbah C.N., Nneji R.K. 2010 Effect of different crop residues management techniques on selected soil properties and grain production of maize. *Journal of applied science research*, 6(2): 151-155
- Mishra Upasana & Pabbi Sunil 2004 Cyanobacteria: A potential biofertilizer for rice. *Rasonance*.
- Ndung W., Kifuko N., Okalebo J. 2007 Producing fortified compost from crop residues. *Organic resource management in Kenya*.(chapter-9)
- Power J.F., Wilhelm Wallace, Doran J. W. 1986 USDA agriculture Research Service- Lincoln, Nebraska. *Soil & Tillage research*,8:101-111
- Prakash UHB, MV, Bhargavi VRR, Paramaand DC., Preethu 2007 Biomedial recycling of solid waste. Proceeding of the international Conference on Sustainable Soil waste management, Chennai, India. Sept.5-7,289-92
- Roger P.A., Reynaud P.A. 1982 Free –living blur-green algae in tropical soils. *Martinus Nijhoff Publisher, La Hague*
- Saadatnia, H., & Riahi, H. 2009 Cyanobacteria from paddy fields in Iran as a biofertilizer in rice plants. *Plant Soil Environ*.55(5): 207-212
- Sadik M.W., Shaer H.M., Yakot H.M. 2010 Recycling of agriculture and farm wastes into compost using compost activator in Saudi Arabia. *J.*

*Int. Environmental Application & Science* vol.5(3): 397-403

• Saber M., Mohammed Z., Badr-el-Din S. and Awad N. 2011 Composting certain agriculture residues to potting soils. *Journal of ecology and the natural environment*. 3(3): 78-84

- Singh, Y. & Singh, B. 2001 Crop residue management in rice wheat system. Efficient management of primary nutrition in the ricewheat system. The rice wheat cropping system of South –Asia: Efficient production management.*Food Products Press, New York* ,USA:23-85
- Solisio, C., Lodi, A., Torre, P., et al., 2006 Copper removal by dry and rehydrated biomass of *Spirulina platensis*. Bioresource Technology 97(14):1756-176.
- Thajuddion N., Subramaniam G. 2005 Cyanobacterial biodiversity and potential applications in biotechnology. *Current Science*, 89:47-57
- Wilson L.T. 2006 Cyanobacteria: A potential nitrogen source in rice fields. *Texas Rice* (6):9-10