



## Table of Contents

### Journal Articles

**Pathogenicity of *Leptospira icterohaemorrhagiae* serovar *Lai* strain Langkawi in Guinea Pigs (*Cavia porcellus*)**  
*H. Tyagita, A.R. Bahaman, S. Jasni, T.A.T. Ibrahim and N.H. Fuzina*.....1

**Antibiotics susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* isolated from dairy goats in selected farms in Selangor, Malaysia**  
*R. Mansor, N.S. Diauddin, S.S. Syed-Hussain and S.F. Khalid*.....12

**Evaluation of leptospirosis knowledge, attitude and practice among dog handlers**  
*S.H. Goh, K.H. Khor, S.F. Lau, R. Ismail, S. Khairani-Bejo and R. Radzi*.....17

**Concentration of serum amyloid A in a clinically normal endurance horses in Malaysia**  
*S.K. Rajendren, N.H. Khairuddin, and S. Sugnaseelan*.....28

### Case Reports

**Lesson learnt: First reported case of complicated cutaneous pythiosis in a dog in Malaysia**  
*S. Omar, S.F. Lau, N.A.I. Mohd Zainudin, N. Azman and J.X. Jessie-Bay*.....34

**Dynamic cervical lung lobe herniation in a Shih Tzu dog**  
*T.S.Y. Joanne, M.L. Lim, O. Asnawi, M.A.H. Shamsul and K.H. Khor*.....38

### Short Communications

**Tenderness and cooking loss in meat of male Dorper Sheep weaned at different ages**  
*Z. Norhayati, M. Wan Zahari, S. Shanmugavelu and A. Dzulfazly*.....43

**Acknowledgements**.....45

**Guidelines for authors**.....46

**List of abbreviations and symbols**.....49

## EDITORIAL BOARD 2018-2020

### Editor-in-Chief:

Dr. Khor Kuan Hua

### Editors:

Prof. Dato' Dr. Abdul Rani Bahaman  
Prof. Dr. Saleha Abdul Aziz  
Prof. Dr. Rasedee Abdullah  
Prof. Dr. Goh Yong Meng  
Prof. Dr. Latiffah Hassan  
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Sharifah Syed Mohd Hassan  
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Chen Hui Cheng  
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Faez Firdaus Jesse Abdullah  
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Lau Seng Fong  
Dr. Ong Bee Lee  
Dr. Rozanaliza Radzi  
Dr. Sharifah Salmah Syed Hussain  
Dr. Sandie Choong Siew Shean  
Lt. M. Dr. John Shia Kwong Siew  
Dr. Hasliza Abu Hassim  
Dr. Azlan Che Mat  
Dr. Nik Mohd Faiz Nik Mohd Azmi

### Technical Support:

Dr. Goh Soon Heng

## EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD 2018-2020

Prof. Dato' Dr. Mohd. Hair Bejo  
University Putra Malaysia

Dato' Dr. Quaza Nizamuddin Bin Hassan Nizam  
Department of Veterinary Services  
Malaysia, Putrajaya

Prof. Datin Paduka Dato' Dr. Aini Ideris  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Prof. Dr. Husni Omar Mohamed  
Cornell University, USA

Dr. Chandrawathani Panchadcharam  
Department of Veterinary Services  
Malaysia, Putrajaya

Prof. Dr. Jasni bin Sabri  
Universiti Malaysia Kelantan

Prof Dr. Paul Mills  
The University of Queensland,  
Australia

Prof Dr. Heng Hock Gan  
Purdue University, USA

Prof Dr. Huang Hui-Pi  
National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Prof. Dr. Mohd Azam Khan bin Goriman Khan, Universiti Malaysia Kelantan

## TENDERNESS AND COOKING LOSS IN MEAT OF MALE DORPER SHEEP WEANED AT DIFFERENT AGES

Z. Norhayati<sup>1</sup>, M. Wan Zahari<sup>2</sup>, S. Shanmugavelu<sup>3</sup> and A. Dzulfazly<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah

<sup>2</sup> Universiti Malaysia Kelantan, City Campus, Pengkalan Chepa, Kota Bharu, Kelantan

<sup>3</sup> Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute, Serdang, Selangor

<sup>4</sup> Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute, Kluang, Johor

### SUMMARY

This study was conducted to evaluate the meat quality of Dorper sheep weaned at different ages. 3 cuts of sirloin from 3 animals in each treatment groups were used in this study. 9 lambs were grouped into 3 different weaning groups (G1, G2, G3) of 30, 60 and 90 days, respectively. G3 was served as control group based on current practice. When the animals reached the age of 270 days, 3 out of 9 lambs from each of the treated groups were slaughtered for meat quality analysis. Results from this study shows no significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) difference of meat quality using sirloin cut in term of tenderness and cooking loss between G1, G2 and G3. Therefore, it can be concluded that weaning at any particular lamb age does not influence the quality of Dorper sheep meat.

*Key words: Cooking loss, Dorper sheep, meat quality, tenderness, weaning ages*

### INTRODUCTION

Consumers' preference on meat is greatly depending on its quality. Meat quality might also contribute to the fluctuation of market meat price. Appearance, juiciness, flavour and texture are some of the most important sensory attributes of meat (Barton-Gade, Cross, Jones & Winger, 1988). The meat textures such as tenderness and water holding capacity are part of the important component in meat evaluation. Generally, tenderness is described as how easily it is chewed or cut. Tender meat is softer and easier to chew. Besides of that, tender meat is more palatable than harder meat. Tenderness is variable within the carcass depending on the function of individual muscles, connective tissue content, ageing potential and state of contraction (Von Seggern *et al.*, 2005). Amongst the palatability of meat (tenderness, juiciness and flavour), tenderness especially of beef is the most variable (Koochmaraie *et al.*, 2002). Okeudo and Moss (2008) explained that the differences in cooking losses and shear force between males and females due to higher intramuscular fat content of females. Fat has lower water content than muscle therefore muscle richer in adipose tissue have reduced water losses (Koochmaraie and Geesink, 2006). Van der Sman (2007) mentioned that cooking loss is the fluid expelled on meat surface with the presence of pressure. During cooking, muscle protein denatured, leading to decrease in their water holding capacity and shrinkage of the protein network. Most of the water loss during cooking is from the juice expelled by protein denaturation and contraction of muscle structures and this changes with the temperature of cooking (Kondjoyan *et al.*, 2013). Even though a few researches reported that early weaning offers many advantages such

as allowing ewes to return to breeding condition earlier, thus accelerating lambing programs and early weaned lambs usually reach market weight earlier and this is directly associated with fast maturity but very less study on meat quality was reported. Therefore, this study was conducted to evaluate the meat quality of Dorper sheep weaned at different ages.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### *Experimental Animals*

A total of 27 newborn male Dorper lambs (average body weight,  $3.06 \pm 0.74$  kg) were randomly divided into three experimental groups which was G1 (weaned at day-30), G2 (weaned at day-60) and G3 (weaned at day-90). Each group consisted of nine animals. Creep and grower feeds were fed at 3.5% of their body weight before and after weaning, respectively. At the age of 270 days, three lambs from each treatment groups were slaughtered for meat quality analysis using the sirloin cut.

#### *Tenderness*

Meat tenderness was measured using Warner Bratzler Shear Test.

#### *Warner Bratzler Shear Test*

This test measures the force necessary to shear a piece of meat. The test cell consisted of a 3 mm-thick steel blade which had a 73° V cut into its lower edge and was fitted through a 4 mm wide slit in a small table. Blade edge was not sharpened and fitted loosely into the slit in the table. The meat samples were placed on the table under V blade and were cut through as the blade moved down with a constant speed through the slit of the table (assay parameters were: pre-test speed:  $3.0 \text{ mms}^{-1}$ ;

\* Corresponding author: Norhayati Zaini (Z. Norhayati);  
Phone no: 088-490149; Email: nhayatiz@mardi.gov.my

test speed: 1.0 mms<sup>-1</sup>; post-test speed: 3.0 mms<sup>-1</sup>). Down stroke distance was 30.0 mm.

The resistance of the meat samples to shearing was recorded every 0.01 s and plotted by a computer in a force-deformation plot. The parameter recorded was the maximum shear force.

#### Cooking Loss

Cooking loss was determined as described by Honikel (1998). Meat were weighed before heating in water bath at 80°C. Samples were cook until define internal temperature 75°C. Meat were cooled to room temperature and reweighed.

$$\% \text{ Cooking loss} = \frac{[(\text{raw weight} - \text{cooked weight})]}{\text{raw weight}} \times 100$$

#### Statistical Analysis

Data was analysed using SPSS statistical software program 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The groups

were compared using ANOVA and the differences between means were tested by Turkey's test at P<0.05.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Table 1 shows the Warner Bratzler Shear Force (WBSF) values and the cooking loss (%) for sirloin between treatment groups. According to Destefanis *et al.*, (2008), meat become tougher when WBSF values is higher. The results showed that better tenderness and less water loss for G3 compared to G1 and G2. The higher the percentage of water loss, the harder the meat. However, there were no significant differences of WBSF values and percentage of cooking loss between the treatment groups. This might be due to small sample size taken for the slaughtered animals. Russo *et al.* (2003) observed higher water holding capacity for heavy carcasses and cooking losses increased proportionally with the weight of the slaughtered animal. This is in contradiction with the findings from this study where by the higher the slaughtered weight, the lower of the cooking loss.

**Table 1. Warner Bratzler Shear Force (WBSF) values and cooking loss (%) for sirloin between treatment groups.**

	Treatment		
	G 1 n=3	G 2 n=3	G 3 n=3
WBSF (N)	46.73±2.19 <sup>ns</sup>	48.73±2.66 <sup>ns</sup>	45.46±2.15 <sup>ns</sup>
Cooking loss (%)	36.77±0.43 <sup>ns</sup>	39.73±1.61 <sup>ns</sup>	36.30±3.25 <sup>ns</sup>

Notes:

N=Newton, G1: weaned at 30 days, G2: weaned at 60 days, G3: control - weaned at 90 days. ns=not significant at P<0.05.

## CONCLUSION

This study concluded that weaning age of Dorper sheep did not significantly affect the meat quality. However, other factors contributed to meat quality should be taken into account in future studies.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Heartful thanks to Noor Athirah Mohd Azhan, the assistant research officer of MARDI Research Centre, Kluang, Johor for her helps in doing the meat analysis and making the data available for this study.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There was no conflict of interest to declare.

## REFERENCES

Barton-Gade, P.A., Cross, H.R., Jones, J.M., and Winger, R.J. (1988). In H. R. Cross & A. J. Overby (Eds.), *Meat science, milk science and technology* (pp141-171). Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers B.V.

Destefanis, G., Brugiapaglia, A., Barge, M.T., and Dal Molin, E. (2008). Relationship between beef consumer tenderness perception and Warner-Bratzler shear force. *Meat Science*. 78: 153-156.

Hamm, R. (1986). Functional properties of the myofibrillar system and their measurements. In: Bechtel, P. J. (Ed.), *Muscle as food*. Academic Press, New York.

Honikel, K.O. (1998). Reference methods for the assesment of physical characteristics of meat. *Meat Science*. 49: 447-457.

Kondjoyan, A., Oillac, S., Portanguen, S., and Gros, J.B. (2013). Combined heat transfer and kinetic models to predict cooking loss during heat treatment of beef meat. *Meat science*. 95: 336-344.

Koohmaraie, M., Kent, M.P., Shackelford, S.D., Veiseth, E. and Wheeler, T.L. (2002). Meat tenderness and muscle growth: is there any relationship? *Meat Science*. 62: 345-352.

Koohmaraie, M., and Geesink, G.H. (2006). Contribution of postmortem muscle biochemistry to the delivery of consistent meat quality with particular focus on the calpain system. *Meat Science*. 74: 34-43.

Okeudo, N.J., and Moss, B.W. (2008). Production performance and meat quality characteristics of sheep comprising four sex-types over a range of slaughter weights produced following commercial practice. *Meat Science*. 80: 522-8.

Russo, C., Prezioso, G. and Verita, P. (2003). EU Carcass classification system: carcass and meat quality in light lambs. *Meat Science*. 64: 411-416.

Van der Sman, R.G.M. (2007). Moisture transport during cooking of meat: An analysis based on Flory-Rehner theory. *Meat Science*, 76: 730-738.

Von Seggern, D.D., Calkins, C.R., Johnson, D.D., Brickler, J.E., and Gwartney, B.L. (2005). Muscle profiling: Characterizing the muscles of the beef chuck and round. *Meat Science*. 71: 39-51.