MINISTRY OF TEXTILES OFFICE OF THE TEXTILE COMMISSIONER 48, VITHALDAS THAKARSEE MARG

NISTHA BHAVAN (NEW C.G.O. BLDG), MUMBAI – 400020

Tel No. 022-22001050 Fax : 022-22004693 :: E-mail : atufs.mum-textiles@gov.in

Website: www.txcindia.gov.in

F.No.12(7)/29thTAMC/ATUFS/2022/TUFS/

Date: 12.08.2022

Sub: Minutes of the 29th meeting of Technical Advisory Monitoring Committee (TAMC) under ATUFS and Previous Version of TUFS held at 2:30 p.m. on 29.06.2022-reg.

The Minutes of the 29th meeting of Technical Advisory Monitoring Committee (TAMC) held at 2:00 PM on 29.06.2022 in the Office of the Textile Commissioner through VC Mode for discussing the issues pertaining to ATUFS and Previous Version of TUFS and discussed in the 7th meeting of IMSC (Agenda no. 8) is enclosed herewith. The decisions of 29th meeting of TAMC shall be read with the decision of 7th meeting of IMSC.

Encl: as above.

(Usha Pralhad Pol)
Deputy Director General

To,

All members of TAMC Meeting

Copy to:

- 1. PS to Tx.C/ATxC(SPV)/JTxC(VKK): For kind information
- 2. Shri Anil Kumar K.C., US, MOT, New Delhi
- 3. M/s.Silver Touch Technologies Ltd.
- 4. Computer cell of O/o TXC Mumbai.

Minutes of 29th meeting of Technical Advisory-Cum-Monitoring Committee (TAMC) for discussing issues of ATUFS and Previous Versions of TUFS held at on 29.06.2022 through VC

29th meeting of the Technical Advisory-cum-Monitoring Committee (TAMC) for discussing the issues on Amended Technology Upgradation Funds Scheme (ATUFS) and Previous Versions of TUFS chaired by Ms. Roop Rashi, Textile Commissioner was held virtually at 2.00 PM on 29.06.2022. The list of participants is at <u>Annexure-III.</u>

At the outset, the Textile Commissioner extended a warm welcome to all the participants and requested Ms. Usha Pralhad Pol, Deputy Director General to take up the agenda before the Committee. The decisions thereon were taken by TAMC as follows:-

Agenda No.1: Confirmation of the minutes of the 28th meeting of TAMC held on 21.04.2022

Minutes of the 28th meeting of TAMC held at 02:30 PM on 21.04.2022 ratified in the 6th meeting of IMSC was circulated to all concerned. As no comment/suggestion is received from the members of TAMC, hence the minutes have been treated as confirmed.

Agenda No. 2: Review of Progress of TUFS

a. Progress of utilization of allotted fund for the financial year 2022-23.

S. No	Scheme	Allocation Rs. In Cr.	Expenditure Rs. in Cr.
1	ATUFS		65.99
2	MTUFS		0
3	RTUFS		0.21
4	RRTUFS(bank routed)	650	20.29
5	RRTUFS (MMS)		0.24
6	OAE		0
	Total*		86.73

^{*} as on 10.06.2022

b. ATUFS (position as on 31.03.2022):

UIDs were auto generated w.e.f. 9th August 2019. As on 31.03.2022, total 14392 UIDs with provisional subsidy ₹ 4963.25 Cr. with project cost of Rs. 69163.03 Cr. have been generated. Segment wise details are given below-

#	Segment Name	UID Issued	Project Cost	Provisional Subsidy
1	Garmenting(15%CIS)	1469	3327.47	340.47
2	Handloom(10% CIS)	60	56.30	04.57
3	Jute(10% CIS)	13	16.52	01.31
4	Multi activity (10%CIS/15%CIS)	2293	31693.05	2039.02
5	Processing(10% CIS)	1622	6602.54	445.28

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC



TO	ΓAL	14392	69163.03	4963.25
8	Weaving(10% CIS)	8371	23182.03	1733.47
7	Technical Textile(15% CIS)	534	4243.68	396.42
6	Silk(10% CIS)	30	41.44	02.71
5	Processing(10% CIS)	1622	6602.54	445.28
4	Multi activity (10%CIS/15%CIS)	2293	31693.05	2039.02
3	Jute(10% CIS)	13	16.52	01.31
2	Handloom(10% CIS)	60	56.30	04.57
1	Garmenting(15%CIS)	1469	3327.47	340.47

The progress and above data are placed before the TAMC for information.

Decision taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee noted the progress and appreciated the efforts and work of office of Textile Commissioner for settlement of old cases in camp mode. TAMC was intimated about 5 outreach camps held at major hubs viz Surat, Ahmedabad, Mumbai, Bangalore and Coimbatore

Agenda No. 3: Clarification on identification whether Shuttleless looms is new or old which is not imported under EPCG and claimed under ATUFS

In 25th meeting of TAMC - agenda no. 6(ii) it has been decided that in cases where Name of machine manufacturer is mentioned in COO as third party /'via'/ "on behalf" where import of machine is not covered under EPCG scheme may not be considered under ATUFS as there is a possibility that such procured machine(s) is either second hand or refurbished, which is not permissible under ATUFS.

The Surat Texmac Federation vide their letter dated 12.02.2022, denoted the Customs notification 50/2017 dated 30.06.2017 to put forth and ascertain the imported machines are new or old at the time of import. The provisions of Customs under the notification 50/2017 are detailed below:-

S.	HSN	Description of	New Machinery		Used machinery		
No.	Code	machine	Appl. Sr. No. of the Notf.	BCD	Appl. Sr. No. of the Notf.	BCD	
1	84463090	Shutlleless (waterjet/Rapier/ Airjet) looms	Sr. No.460(i) of Notf. No.50/2017 dt.30.06.2017	0%	Sr. No.461 of Notf. No.50/2017 dt.30.06.2017	5%	
2	84481900	Elect. Jacq. / Jacq (300rpm and above)	Sr. No.461A of Notf. No.50/2017 dt.30.06.2017	0%	Sr. No.461 of Notf. No.50/2017 dt.30.06.2017	5%	

Agenda was again placed before 27th meeting of TAMC. However, it was deferred as the matter needed examination and verification of the interpretation of the Customs notification.

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC

BUILIE

Accordingly, this office vide letter dated 20.05.2022 requested Customs Department to confirm whether the description of the machinery and applicable Sr. No. of customs notification as mentioned in Bill of Entry or any other document can be used to establish that the imported goods are New or Used one. In this regard, Customs Department has clarified that:-

- (i) <u>For used machines</u>: to ascertain the imported machinery is used, inspection / appraisement reports issued by the chartered engineer or their equivalent, based in the country of sale of the used machinery accepted by the Customs as per the provisions of CBIC vide circular No.07/2020-Cus dated 05.02.2020.
- (ii) <u>For New Machines:</u> The assessment is done on the basis of declaration made by the importer in Bill of Entry and supporting documents as per the serial No. of the Notification No.50/2017-Cus dated 30.06.2017 with proper examination, if examination is prescribed by the Risk Management System(RMS).

In view of the above, the Customs Department has confirmed that the description of the machinery and applicable Sr. No. of customs notifications as mentioned in the Bill of Entry and its supporting documents can be used to establish that the imported machine is New or Used.

The customs department has also furnished the applicable Sr. No. and applicable tax of used / new machines for Shutlle-less looms and Electronic Jacquard of the customs notification 50/2017 dated 30.06.2017 which is reproduced as under:-

S.	HSN	Description of	New Machinery	Used machinery		
No.	Code	machine	Appl. Sr. No. of the Notf.	BCD	Appl. Sr. No. of the Notf.	BCD
1	84463090	Shutlleless (waterjet/Rapier/ Airjet) looms	Sr. No.460(i) of Notf. No.50/2017 dt.30.06.2017	0%	Sr. No.461 of Notf. No.50/2017 dt.30.06.2017	5%
2	84481900	Elect. Jacq. / Jacq (300rpm and above)	Sr. No.461A of Notf. No.50/2017 dt.30.06.2017	0%	Sr. No.461 of Notf. No.50/2017 dt.30.06.2017	5%

It was informed that apart from other applicable standard duties, additional 5% BCD is charged to the Used /Old imported machineries. For new machineries the applicable BCD is 0%.

Section Note: As per the confirmation of Customs Department, in the Bill of Entry if 5% BCD has been charged then the imported Shuttle-less looms and Electronic Jacquard machine may be considered as Used/Old and cases where 0%BCD charged in the Bill of Entry may be treated as New.

TAMC may deliberate and decide the issue for Shuttle less loom and electronic jacquard only for Machine purchased after the notification 01st July 2017 subject to fulfillment of credit linkage requirement and other conditions permissible under GRs, policy decisions etc.

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC

BUNIE

Decision taken by 29th TAMC:- Members from FIASWI, SIMA suggested to accept the clarification of Customs for New and second hand machinery and cases may be processed based on shipping documents provided.

Addl. Textile Commissioner Shri S.P. Verma has informed that matter may be decided very carefully as it is based on self certification of entity and customs has not certified anything.

Addl. Textile Commissioner Shri S.P. Verma has further added that a Committee under the chairmanship of Jt. Textile Commissioner Shri Vipan Kohli has been constituted to examine and submit its report on the issues raised by TEXMAC in their representation. The same Committee will examine the representation. The said committee will examine clarification of Customs for New and second hand machinery and processing of claims based on the shipping documents.

Chairperson also appealed to the industry to provide information on the matter where industry is purchasing used machine without declaring in Bill of Entry and customs is allowing.

Hence, agenda is deferred for the report of the Committee of Jt. Textile Commissioner Shri Vipan Kohli.

Agenda No. 4: Wrong selection of machinery Annexure during application / JIT request in ATUFS.

Airjet Loom Owners Associations, Ichalkaranji has made a representation dated 28.07.2021 and 27.10.2021 w.r.t eligibility of machines as per the decision of 15th meeting of TAMC pertaining to the wrong selection of machine / Annexure no. during JIT request. The decision of 15th meeting of TAMC -Additional Agenda no. 12 held on 02.01.2020 is reproduced below:

The extant RR clearly allows for change in machine annexure no. at the time of JIT request. As the scheme has been under implementation for almost 4 years, no further relaxation for post facto change should be given to units which fail to exercise this provision at the time of submitting JIT request in iTUFS.

However, for units which have filed JIT request prior to 26th July 2019 i.e., the date when iTUFS was made operational in line with RR, claims involving change in machine annexure no. may be dealt with on file subject to the following:

- i. The machine actually installed should fall in the same segment as the one claimed in UID.
- ii. The machine should be installed, commissioned and should meet the technology specification of the revised annexure no. It should be recommended by the JIT.
- iii. Basic cost of machine (falling under different annexure no.) should not exceed the value mentioned in the UID.
- iv. All other eligibility criteria are met.

Additionally, since machines are hypothecated to lending agencies, no objection certificate of the bank should be obtained w.r.t. change in machine annexure no.

Further, the matter was again discussed during 19th meeting of TAMC held on 23.12.2020, agenda no. 4 and it was decided to allow change in sub-segment in respect of Air jet loom, Water jet Loom & Circular Knitting Machine. Decision of 19th meeting of TAMC is as follows:

The committee decided that the change in sub-segment in respect of Air jet loom, Water jet Loom & Circular Knitting Machine may be considered as under:-

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC

Sl no.	Name of the machine	Decision
1.	Air Jet Loom with	Allowed from (i) to (ii)
	Weft Insertion Rate (WIR) not less than 1200 mtrs per minutes	& vice versa
	without Electronic Dobby/Electronic Jacquard	
	Weft Insertion Rate (WIR) not less than 900 mtrs per minutes	
	with Electronic Dobby/Electronic Jacquard	- 10
2.	Water Jet Loom with	Allowed from (i) to (ii)
	Weft Insertion Rate (WIR) not less than 1000 mtrs per minutes	& vice versa
	without Electronic Dobby/Electronic Jacquard	
	Weft Insertion Rate (WIR) not less than 800 mtrs per minutes	
	with Electronic Dobby/Electronic Jacquard	
3.	High speed circular knitting machine with yarn tension and	Allowed from (1) to (2)
	clearers along with Creel -	& vice versa
	Having Dia up to 26" with a minimum speed of 20 RPM and	
	above,	
	Having Dia above 26" with a minimum speed of 15 RPM and	
	above.	NI STATE OF THE ST

However, Airjet Loom Owners Associations, Ichalkaranji has further requested that the Small scale units face difficult to understand the features of the online portal and could not select the correct segment and machine ref no. during JIT request due to ignorance. Further, the Association informed that the inadvertent selection of machine segment and reference number results in ineligibility and rejection of claim.

The change in annexure within the same segment after filing JIT request by unit has been disabled in the system after development of provisions in line with Revised Resolution dated 2.8.2018 under ATUFS although the committed liability accounted for in the UID. The change in machine annexure no. as decided in the 15th meeting of TAMC need to be considered irrespective of date of submission of JIT request as no financial risk involved in it.

TAMC may deliberate and decide that in view of 15th TAMC regarding Change of Annexure in same segment (example MC-03-d-5 to MC-03-d-6, or MC-04-1 to MC-04-2 etc.) irrespective of date.

Decision taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee decided that the decision of 15th TAMC regarding change of Annexure in same segment should be allowed irrespective of date.

Agenda No. 5: Six (06) cases wherein the units created application under RRTUFS from 9.01.2016 to 13.01.2016

The matter pertaining to six (06) cases wherein the units created application under RRTUFS from 9.01.2016 to 13.01.2016 during the transit phase of ATUFS i.e., just before the close of RRTUFS and launch of ATUFS. The Term Loan was sanctioned on 28.01.2016 i.e. after launch of ATUFS for all the six cases. However, units have submitted UID application in RRTUFS portal of i-TUFS in February 2016. As per RRTUFS -UID tracking report, UID was not allotted to the unit under RRTUFS as the term loan sanction date is in ATUFS period.

It is pertinent to note that i-TUFS portal for ATUFS scheme was made operational only in April 2016 and the first application under ATUFS was created on 07.04.2016 (as per i-TUFS record).

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC

However, all the six applications of the unit were then forwarded by lending agency and obtained UID under "RRTUFS to ATUFS" converted cases through auto-generation mode. Hence the claims are included in committed liability under ATUFS. JIT inspection has been completed as per ATUFS guidelines.

TAMC may deliberate and decide the admissibility of the cases under ATUFS.

<u>Decision taken by 29th TAMC</u>: The Committee agreed to consider these cases since committed liability has been fixed based on auto UID Generation, Physical Verification already done and no time limit has been breached as per the GR under ATUFS for the mentioned cases. Hence, it was also decided that similar type of cases may also be allowed for processing for settlement.

Agenda No. 6: Decisions of ITC for ratification by 29th meeting of TAMC

Sub Agenda no . 1: For ratification of machinery manufacturer/authorized agents enlistment

Enlistment of 28 machinery manufacturer/authorized agents as per annexure-I recommended by Internal Technical Committee (ITC) in its 41st, 42nd, 43rd and 44th meeting held on 27.04.2022, 12.5.2022, 26.05.2022 and 09.06.2022 respectively is placed before the TAMC for ratification

During the meeting, Shri S. P. Verma, ATxC has also informed the members about the decision taken by 29th ITC held on 27-06-2022 in which 8 more machinery manufacturer/authorized agents are recommended as per annexure-II.

<u>Decision of TAMC</u>: TAMC ratified the enlistment of 36 (28-Annexure-I & 8- Annexure-II) machinery manufacturers/authorized agents.

<u>Sub-Agenda no . 2 (Agenda Point No. 3 of 41st ITC)</u>: Consideration of Subsidiary Sales Unit MOU: M/s Juki Singapore Pte Ltd

- 1 M/s Juki India Pvt Ltd had submitted the documents for enlistment / restoration of the following units under ATUFS: -
- a) M/s Juki Corporation, Japan (Parent Manufacturing Unit)
- b) M/s Juki Matsue Corporation, Japan (Manufacturing Unit) as a subsidiary of (a)
- c) M/s Juki (Vietnam) Co. Ltd, Vietnam (Manufacturing Unit) as a subsidiary of (a)
- d) M/s Juki (Shanghai) Industrial Co. Ltd, China(Manufacturing Unit) as a subsidiary of (a)
- e) M/s Juki (Langfang) Industrial Co. Ltd, China (Manufacturing Unit) as a subsidiary of (a)
- f) M/s Juki Singapore Pte Ltd, Singapore (Sales Unit) as a subsidiary of (a)
- g) M/s Juki India Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru (Sales Unit) as a subsidiary of (a)

Juki group of companies are enlisted in Annexure-I & Annexure-II under ATUFS as a machinery manufacturer which are not valid at present. In regards for enlistment of subsidiary units, they have submitted the Corporate Report-2020 of M/s Juki Corporation, Japan showing all its subsidiaries.

Being the subsidiary of Juki Group, M/s Juki Singapore Pte Ltd, Singapore & M/s Juki India Pvt Ltd, Bangalore are the main sales office, doing the business of sales & marketing of the products made from the manufacturing units of Juki situated in Japan, China and Vietnam.

In this context, as per discussion & direction of the 27th meeting of ITC, the followings are the Authorized Agents had been enlisted along with M/s Juki Singapore Pte Ltd, Singapore and M/s Juki India Pvt Ltd, Bangalore.

Sr.No	Sr.No. and Annexure	Manufacturer	Agent
1	Sr.No.144 Annexure-III	M/S JUKI SINGAPORE PTE LTD, SINGAPORE	M/S IIGM PVT LTD, BANGALORE
2	Sr.No.156 Annexure-III	M/S JUKI SINGAPORE PTE LTD, SINGAPORE	M/S ANAADIH VINCOM PVT LTD, KOLKATA
3	Sr.No.172 Annexure-III	M/S JUKI INDIA PVT LTD	M/S BALAJI SEWING MACHINE PVT LTD, JOGESWARI, MUMBAI
4	Sr.No.21 Annexure-V	M/S JUKI INDIA PVT. LTD., BANGALORE	M/S ANAADIH VINCOM PVT. LTD., KOLKATA
5	Sr.No.50 Annexure-V	M/S JUKI SINGAPORE PTE LTD., SINGAPORE	M/S VIBGYOR TRADING CO., TIRUPUR

<u>Submission:</u> Since M/s Juki Singapore Pte Ltd, Singapore and M/s Juki India Pvt Ltd, Bangalore are not a machinery manufacturers but sales office in which they cannot sales through the sub-agents, the above Authorized Agents Names may be delisted from the indicative list under ATUFS and may enlist/restore the parent manufacturing unit i.e., Juki Corporation, Japan and its subsidiary units.

Decision Taken in 28th meeting of ITC: - After due deliberation in the matter, the Committee opined that M/s Juki Corporation, Japan and its subsidiaries as mentioned the above may be enlisted under ATUFS and the name of the units who are enlisted as authorized agents with M/s. Juki Singapore Pte. Ltd, Singapore and M/s Juki India Pvt. Ltd, Bangalore may be delisted from the indicative list of machinery manufacturer under ATUFS as M/s. Juki Singapore Pte. Ltd, Singapore and M/s Juki India Pvt. Ltd, Bangalore are being the sales office of M/s Juki Corporation, Japan and its subsidiary.

<u>Decision of 25th meeting of TAMC</u>: The Committee ratified decision of ITC with applicability in prospective cases. TAMC advised ITC to consider enlistment of authorized agents on receipt of the request from the manufacturer along with original copy of MOU signed by both the parties as per the extant guidelines.

Section note: Now M/s Juki Corporation, Japan vide email and letter dated 12.04.2022 declaring that MOU between M/s. Juki Singapore Pte. Ltd, Singapore & M/s Juki India Pvt. Ltd Bangalore and M/s. IIGM Pvt. Ltd should be considered as legal and valid and it is equivalent as MOU between JUKI Corporation and IIGM.

Decision Taken by ITC: The Committee based on the submission of M/s. Juki Corporation, Japan (Parent Manufacturer) that their wholly owned Subsidiary Units i.e., M/s. Juki Singapore Pte Itd, Singapore and M/s. Juki India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore are competent authorities to appoint Agents and Dealers in respective countries including India, decided to consider the MOUs as valid as per the decision taken in the 25th meeting of TAMC dtd. 22/02/2022. Accordingly, Committee proposed to re-enlist the agents of M/s. Juki Singapore Pte Itd, Singapore and M/s. Juki India Pvt. Ltd who were delisted based on the decision taken in the 28th meeting of ITC dt. 12/10/2021.

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

34 ruto

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee reviewed its decision taken in 25th TAMC based on recommendations of ITC and ratified the recommendations of the ITC.

Sub Agenda no. 3 (Agenda Point No. 4 of 41st ITC): Enlistment of Subsidiary units of M/s Tuftco Corporation, USA

M/s Tuftco Corporation forwarded request for enlistment of its wholly owned subsidiary i.e. M/s Tuftco Finishing Systems. M/s Tuftco International, USA, which is subsidiary of Tuftco Corporation, enlisted at Sr. No-01 Annexure-V.

This office vide e-mail dated- 06.04.2022 asked legal document as Balance sheet/ Annual report to establish subsidiary relation among the mentioned companies. In lieu of above unit has forwarded Annual balance sheet and Apostille certification on declaration.

As such the subsidiary enlistment as proposed:

Existing	Proposed
Tuftco International, USA	Parent Group Company: Tuftco Corporation, USA
	Subsidiary Company: Tuftco International, USA
	Subsidiary company: Tuftco Finishing Systems, USA

Decision Taken by ITC: The Committee reviewed the documents submitted by M/s. Tuftco International, USA and proposed to enlist the subsidiary units of M/s. Tuftco International, USA subject to submission of clarification regarding name on the machine name plate and invoicing details.

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of the ITC for addition of subsidiary units of M/s Tuftco International, USA.

<u>Sub Agenda no . 4 (Agenda Point No. 5 of 41st ITC)</u>: Manufacturing Unit address addition for M/s Polygraph Printing Technologies Ltd

M/s Polygraph Printing Technologies Ltd, Andheri has applied for factory address addition in ATUFS Portal. M/s Polygraph Printing Technologies Ltd is enlisted at Sr No- 77 Annexure- III with its sales office address i.e. Andheri, Mumbai. The manufacturing unit is situated at Vasai, Palghar. In this support, unit has submitted Udyam Adhar Certificate and GST registration copies. The Sales office and Manufacturing unit address are available in both above documents. Both the address pertains to same state i.e. Maharashtra.

Existing	Proposed		
M/s Polygraph Printing	M/s Polygraph Printing Technologies Ltd		
Technologies Ltd,	Manufacturing Unit:Plot No: 49, Hissa No: 3A, Building No: 2, Devdal,		
Andheri, Mumbai	Shiv Shankar Road, Sagpada, Kaman, Vasai - East		
	Palghar - 401 208		
	Sales Office: 88, Mistry Industrial Complex, MIDC, Cross Road,		
	Andheri East, Mumbai- 400093		

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC

Decision Taken by ITC: The Committee reviewed the documents submitted by M/s Polygraph Printing Technologies Ltd, Andheri and decided to add the manufacturing unit address of M/s. Polygraph Printing Technologies Ltd, Andheri, Mumbai in the list of indicative machinery manufacturers under ATUFS.

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of the ITC for addition of factory address of already enlisted unit under ATUFS.

<u>Sub Agenda no . 5 (Agenda Point No. 6 of 41st ITC)</u>: Decision on recommendation for Speed range for Cable Corder Machine for Carpet.

TMMA vide e-mail dated 21.04.2022 forwarded industry representation in regard to speed limitation of Cable Corder machine for carpet upto 8000 RPM.

M/s. Meera Industries Ltd., Gujarat has vide letter dt. 9/12/2021 requested to modify the description of the machine allowed under MC-3 B (3) for 'Technical Textiles' as 'Cable Corder for various industrial use and Model: Carpet Cabler / Carpet Twister direct cabling machine for carpet yarn'. Accordingly, they have submitted the Technical Literature and Brochure of Machine.

<u>Decision Taken in 33rd ITC</u>: The Committee noted that under MC-3 B (3) Cable Corder is made eligible for preparation of yarn to be used for Tyre cord fabric or parachute whereas M/s Meera Industries Ltd, Gujarat has requested for allowing carpet cabler / carpet twister which is more or less like a TFO machine. The TFO machines are already made eligible under MC-01 B 5 in same category wherein their machine will also fall. We may add the description of the machine at under MC-01 B 5 as cable corder for carpets having minimum speed of 8000 rpm.

<u>Decision taken in 25th meeting TAMC:</u> The committee has decided to revisit the decision of 33d ITC as the technology is already recommended by 3rd ITC (Agenda No. 03) and subsequently added based on 15th TAMC Decision (Additional Agenda No. 10).

<u>Decision taken in 38th meeting ITC</u>: The committee reviewed the case and clarified that decision taken in 3rd ITC and 33rd ITC are altogether different. Hence, again recommended to

TAMC as was recommended by the 33rd meeting of ITC.

28th meeting of TAMC discussion: The agenda has been discussed in 28th meeting TAMC and directed to draw recommendation for speed range of cable corder machine for carpeting in ITC meeting

<u>Decision Taken</u>: After detailed deliberation in the matter, the Committee decided to modify the decision taken in the 33rd meeting ITC dt. 23.12.2021 as under:

Existing	Proposed	

34 milesting of IMSC

Two-for-one Twister operating at the speed of minimum 8000 rpm with cradle, drop wires and overfeed system.

Three-for-one Twister operating at the speed of minimum 5000 rpm with cradle, drop wires and overfeed system.

Two-for-one Twister operating at the speed of minimum 8000 rpm with cradle, drop wires and overfeed system. Three-for-one Twister operating at the speed of minimum 5000 rpm with cradle, drop wires and overfeed system.

Cable corder for carpets with Pot size dia 190 mm to 250 mm with minimum spindle speed 5000 rpm and above or with Pot size dia 251 mm and above, with minimum spindle speed of 3500 rpm and above

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of the ITC for speed specifications for cable corder used for preparing yarns for carpets.

Sub Agenda no., 6 (Agenda Point No. 7 of 41st ITC): Cases forwarded by RO Coimbatore

The name of the machine claimed by the unit under MC-04-113 is mentioned as 'PLC controlled fully automatic flatbed screen printing machine with pneumatic blanket control' in the Invoice raised by the manufacturer's M/s. KTK Maquinas Equipment of Industrials, LDA, Portugal. & M/s. S Roque Mauinas E Tecnologia Laser S.A., Portugal. However, the JIT has certified the machine as Comp. Multi Head Printing Machine for Garment and Garment Panel under MC-04-113. The manufacturer has also confirmed that the machine is Computerized Multi Head Screen Printing Machine having 12 Heads used for printing Garments and Garment Panels.

The case was placed in 33rd meeting of ITC dated 23.12.2021 and decision is as follows:

<u>Decision Taken in 33rd meeting of ITC:</u> The committee was of the opinion that the JIT should confirm whether the Comp. Multi Head Printing Machineis PLC based or of a higher technology.

RO Coimbatore Office have 14 cases in the above said category and pending for disposal In the Commercial invoice machine name has been mentioned as PLC controlled fully automatic flatbed screen printing machine with pneumatic blanket control But, actual machine installed and JIT also confirms that the installed machine is "Computerized Multi Head Printing Machine for Garment and Garment Panel"

RO Coimbatore requested to confirm whether cases pertaining to subject can be disposed as per 33rd ITC decision or requires ratification from TAMC for processing of cases.

Section Note: The decision was placed in draft agenda for 27th TAMC held on dated 21.04.2022 (Agenda No-6, sub agenda-02) however was not discussed during the meeting.

Decision Taken: The Committee noted that the matter involves incorrect description of machinery in the invoice raised by the manufacturer. As the JIT has already confirmed that the actual machinery is "Computerized Multi Head Printing Machine for Garment and Garment Panel", whereas, in the Commercial invoice machine name of the machine has been mentioned as 'PLC controlled fully automatic flatbed screen printing machine with pneumatic blanket control'. As both machines are already eligible under different segments of the GR, the committee decided that ATUFS Section

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC

3412/2

should take a decision in the matter, based on the certification of the concerned OIC of Regional office as to the eligibility of the machinery under the proper segment, as per ATUFS GR.

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee opined that both the machines are used for purpose of printing of either fabric or garment panels and hence may be considered for benefit under ATUFS.

Sub Agenda no . 7 (Agenda Point No. 2 of 42nd ITC): Request from M/s. Surat Texmac Federation

M/s. Surat Texmac Federation vide letter dated 16.03.2022 requested this office to submit their representations on ATUFS registration, queries on old scheme & its extension and new government policies. Also, they requested this office to incorporate as a member for internal technical committee.

In view of the above and as per A.TX.C(SPV) instruction, email sent to unit on 22.04.2022 and 10.05.2022. Now Association confirmed their physical participation via email dated 10.05.2022 during the meeting.

Submisssion made by M/s. Surat Texmac Federation before Committee: The representatives of M/s. Surat Texmac Federation, Shri Pranav Mehta and Shri Mahendra Kukadia themselves importers of foreign manufactured Textile Machinery, have informed the committee that the looms purchased from China are mostly reconditioned machines, which are refurbished in China and being imported in India as new machines. Accordingly they have requested that the JIT of the units who have applied under ATUFS Scheme should be carried out within a time period of 90 days so that it will be easier to identify the reconditioned machines. They have also proposed to send their representatives to be a part of the JIT to help identify such reconditioned machines

<u>Decision taken</u>: The Committee apprised the representatives that due to the prevalent Covid situation and shortage of staff, there is a huge backlog of JITs requests from units which are pending since long. However, the Committee assured them that a recommendation will be sent to the competent authority to include the representatives of M/s. Surat Texmac Federation in the JIT as a invitee henceforth for Surat Region. The Committee also recommends for sending a team of officers who have to be shown the some of the units identified by M/s. Surat Texmac Federation, to have received such refurbished Looms so as a protocol for identification of refurbished machine may be proposed. The committee also requested M/s. Surat Texmac Federation to submit details of such units in writing to this office within a week time. The recommended that a representative of M/s. Surat Texmac Federation may be associated with JIT for the units related to claim of shuttlelless loom from china

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee accepted the recommendations of the ITC. It was informed to the members that for this purpose a Committee under the Chairmanship of JTxC (Shri Vipan Kohli) is already proposed which will examine and submit its report. The TAMC accepted the same and also requested that the said Committee also examine the proposal made at agenda no. 3 whether the custom is collecting BCD @5% based on HS code declared by the importer or the custom authority has any process to identify, whether Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC

30mis

Page 12 of 33

imported capital goods is new or secondhand. Committee may submit its report within a month time to the Textile Commissioner.

<u>Sub Agenda no. 8 (Agenda Point No. 5 of 42nd ITC)</u>: Query raised by TUFS Cell reg. JIT report of M/s. Amaya Ventures LLP, Gandhinagar.

On scrutiny of the JIT Report of M/s. Amaya Ventures LLP, Gandhinagar, submitted by R.O. Ahmedabad, TUFS Cell has observed from the Invoice that 3 numbers of machines (2 mixer and 1 cleaner) purchased by the unit has been recommended by the JIT as a single machine. On raising the query R.O.Ahmedabad has informed as under:

'Foam generator / mixer are used by the unit as a auxiliary machines for coating line. Two mixer and one cleaner as per Invoice. All above machines are auxiliary machines of the coating line hence unit has been allotted 01 MIC and JIT has verified all the machines mentioned in the invoice and recommended the same considering as a one Machine in the JIT Report.'.

<u>Decision Taken in 15th ITC dated 05.01.2021</u>: The Committee was of the opinion that TUFS Cell should call for comments from R.O.Ahmedabad on why the mixer and cleaner machines should be considered as a single machine. They may also be asked to forward the Technical Literature for the mixer and cleaner of the machines. Matter may be deferred till the receipt of the Technical Literature and comments from R.O. Ahmedabad.

<u>Section note</u>: Now ATUFS section replied via note no. 12(2833)/JIT/ATUFS/2019/TUFS/37 dated 10.05.2021 as below.

- 1. RO Ahmedabad informed that the claimed machine is a combined machine. In this machine both mixers perform as a unit to make foamable chemical for surface coating. Hence JIT has considered as a single machine
- 2) RO Ahmedabad has forwarded technical literature provided by the machine manufacturer.

Decision Taken: The committee requested ATUFS Section to take appropriate decision as per GR and Circular No. 5 (2016-17 series) dt. 15/09/2016.

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The report submitted by the ITC in the matter under reference was not found satisfactory hence TAMC directed ITC to re-examine the issue and submit report in next TAMC.

Sub Agenda no . 9 (Agenda Point No. 3 of 43rd ITC): Enlistment of M/s. Stoll India Pvt. Ltd

ATUFS cell have vide their note dt. 08/09/2021 requested that the matter regarding enlistment of M/s. Stoll India Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai may be deliberated in the ITC first and the outcome of the decision may be communicated to them for placing before the TAMC.

M/s. Stoll India a subsidiary unit of M/s. H. Stoll Ag & Co KG, Germany, are importing the STOLL Computerized High Speed Fully Fashioned Flat Bed Knitting Garment Manufacturing Machines and

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC

3414180

Page 13 of 33

stocking it at a Free Trade Warehousing Zone (FTWZ) located in Khurja They are functioning as an Authorized Selling Agent of M/s. H. Stoll Ag & Co KG which is a deemed foreign territory. They do not have any set up for production of Textile Machinery.

As FTWA is governed under the SEZ rules and enjoys all the benefits as that of an SEZ. Though an FTWZ is on the geographical territory of India it is deemed to be foreign territory and goods warehoused therein are deemed to be goods on foreign territory and as such they cannot be considered to be warehoused in a customs bonded warehouse.

The said matter was taken up in the 3rd ITC held on 29/11/2019, wherein it was decided to refer the matter to TAMC. The TAMC in its 15th meeting held on 2/1/2020 recommend that the matter may be decided in consultation with DGFT regarding selling of machines through SEZ. Accordingly, the matter was taken up with DGFT and DGFT Delhi have vide letter dt. 14/6/2021, informed that goods once cleared from SEZ (including FTWZ) to DTA, it is treated as import and place them equivalent to import in the country though the goods do not cross the physical boundary of the country.

Decision taken in 28th ITC dated 12.10.2021: After due deliberation in the matter, the committee opinioned that as per clarification provided by the DGFT, M/s. Stoll India Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai cannot be considered as a subsidiary unit or authorized selling agent of M/s. H. Stoll Ag & Co KG, Germany, since ATUFS guidelines doesn't allow stock sales. The Committee was appraised that earlier M/s. Stoll India Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai had submitted that they are also selling second hand/reconditioned machines also. Hence earlier their application was not considered for enlistment. The activities at SEZ are also not available. Hence no conclusion can be arrived. OIC ROTxC, Noida may be requested to verify and submit report whether based on their activities at SEZ, Khurja and agreement with M/s. H/Stoll Ag & Co. KG, Germany, can be considered under ATUFS as per prevailing provisions and guidelines.

<u>Section note</u>: Now,OIC RO Noida submitted physical verification report along with visit report of technical officer dated 08.02.2022 and documents submitted by unit as per decision of 28th ITC dated 12.10.2021. The consolidated report divided in three parts as follows

- a). Visit Report of technical officer dated 08.04.2022,
- i. During visit Unit kept stock of 87 Flatbed Knitting Machines in which 11 machines were physically verified and confirmed as brand new. Photographs of machine and nameplate were enclosed. Remaining machines were packed in wooden cases. Out of 84 machines 19 machines from M/s. Stoll Knitting Machinery (Shanghai) Co. Ltd., 4 machines from M/s. H. Stoll- Germany and 64 machines from Karl Mayer China Ltd.
- ii. Activities carried out at the FTWZ ware house are governed by SEZ policy.
 - b). Submission of unit M/s. Karl Mayer Stoll India Pvt. Ltd. (formerly known as M/s. Stoll India P. Ltd)
- i. The Government of India under Chapter 7A under the FTP. 2004-2009 envisaged the Creation of Free Trade Warehousing Zones, a trade related infrastructure lo facilitate the import and export of goods and services with a freedom to carry out trade transactions free currency under the special

34 MISC

category of Special Economic Zones with a focus on trading and warehousing (Chapter 7A of FTP attached for your reference)

- ii. Under the SEZ Act. A Special Economic zone shall and on from thee appointed day Deemed to be a territory outside the customs territory of India for the purposes of undertaking the authorized operations (attached page no 35 of the SEZ Act wherein the SEZ s defined) and also deemed to be a port, ICD, land station and land customs station for facilitating customs clearances with all types of licences like EPCG, SHIS. SFIS etc. All the transactions are done in foreign currency
- iii. A clarification dated July 2010 from Ministry of Commerce and industry that FTWZ can hold goods on behalf of foreign supplier and buyer and DTA supplier and buyer as we subject to the fulfilment of provisions

Present Arrangement of Karl Mayer Stoll India with A Unit Holder of FTWZ

- iv. In this regard to the present arrangement, M/S. Buhariwala Logistics is a unit holder of SEZ at Arshyia Northern FTWZ Khurja and has entered into a service agreement with M/s. Karl Mayer Stoll India Pvt. Ltd which is a 100% subsidiary of Karl Mayer Group.
- v. Stoll India has entered into a service agreement for importing Stoll Flat Bed Knitting machines from the supplier and warehousing in FTWZ for supplying the machines to customers
- vi. Stoll Group had to warehouse and keep the machines in stock to cater to the demands of the customers in terms of quick delivery thereby reducing the lead time and help the customer in realizing timely production and export of products without any delay.
- vii. More over sales from FTWZ carries better legal weightage than a high-seas sale or a sale from a custom-bonded warehouse as it has the necessary legal provisions when compared to a sale done from High Seas which is more or less an incidental sale and does not even carry a semblance of relationship between a manufacturer / supplier and a customer promoting transfer of technology to Indian shores. Moreover, clearance from a FTWZ is an import purchase without any local taxes as FTWZ s deemed to be beyond customs frontiers with all local tax exempt. In view of the above, unit submitted following documents,
 - 1) Share Purchase Agreement
 - 2) Inventory details as 08.04.2022
 - 3) invoice copies, COO and Bill of lading (sample copies)
 - 4) Audited financial report and balance sheet,
 - 5) Service agreement
 - 6) Sales details Documents regarding FTWZ and SEZ policy

c). Submission of OIC RO NOIDA

- i. H. Stoll A.G & Co. A.G has transferred its share/merged to Karl Mayer, Germany and the new Indian entity Is named as Karl Mayer Stoll India P'vtLtd.
- ii. M/s Buhariwala Logistics is a unit holder of SEZ at Arshya Northern Free Trade warehousing Zone Limited, Khurja and has entered into a service agreement with Stoll India Pvt Ltd.
- iii. From the Bill of Lading, it is observed that the consignee is Buhariwala Logistics (unit holder).
- iv. From the documents submitted with the report, it is understood that company is stocking the machines in the warehouse to cater the demand of customers in terms of quick delivery. However, the machines are not imported in the name of textile unit to which it is sold, instead the consignee is the warehouse (M/s Buhariwala Logistics) where these machines are stored. The GR on ATUFS dated 02.08.2018 is silent on eligibility of subsidy for machines sold through such arrangement.

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC

34115

Page 15 of 33

- v. Para 4.2.6 of GR on ATUFS dated 29.02.2016 states that "benefits will also be available in case new imported stitching machines required for garmenting/apparel/made-ups manufacturing is purchased from the authorised stockists, custom warehouse located within country". However, this clause was also dropped in revised G.R. dated 02.08.2018
- vi. The matter was discuss3ed in 3rd IMSC dated 17.02.2019 that the proposal relating to restoration of custom bonded warehouse to be examined in consultation with Department of Revenue. However, the outcome of this decision is not known to RO NOIDA.

<u>Decision Taken in 42ndITC meeting dated 12.05.2022</u>: The matter was deferred due to paucity of time.

<u>Decision Taken</u>: The committee noted that as per Para 4.2.6 of GR on ATUFS dated 29.02.2016, custom warehouse sales and stock sales are allowed only for stitching machines required for garment/apparel/made-ups manufacturing. Further in the revised GR dated 02.08.2018 even this clause was dropped. Hence enlistment request of M/s. Stoll India Pvt. Ltd may not be considered as they are conducting business as stockist as per Physical verification report.

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of the ITC.

Sub Agenda no . 10 (Agenda Point No. 4 of 43rd ITC): Cases forwarded by ATUFS Cell

1. The ATUFS Cell have vide email dt. 20/7/2021 requested to place this matter in forthcoming ITC where a manufacturer has two authorized agents (both enlisted) however machine supplied by one and shipped by another.

The details are as below: -

- 1-Invoice issued by -M/s Zee Yeng Company Ltd.
- 2-MoU b/w Manufacturer and supplier M/s Zee Yeng Company Ltd is authorized agent of M/s Jiangsu Runshan Precision Machinery and Technology Co. Ltd China.
- 3- Exporter name as per COO- M/s Suzhou Flaming Company Ltd. China authorized agents of M/s Jiangsu Runshan Precision Machinery and Technology Co. Ltd China
- 4- Name mentioned on machine- M/s Runshan Knitting Machine

As per 3.2. of GR dated 29.02.2016 "Machinery purchased directly from the machine manufacturers or their authorized agents will be considered for benefits under the scheme", and Para 3.3 of RR dated 02.08.2018 "Machinery purchased directly from the machine manufacturers or their authorized agents/suppliers will be eligible for capital subsidy under the scheme" while para 10.2.2. of RR dated 02.08.2018 "Only new machinery shall be installed Second had machinery will not be permitted under the scheme. Machine shall be purchased directly from the Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) or this authorized agent / Supplier. In the latter case, a document showing that the Agent / Supplier is authorized by the manufacturer shall be required with the invoice". However

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC

34110

Page 16 of 33

the as per the document it is not justified that machine has been purchased as per para mentioned above in GR and RR.

Hence, the matter is placed for decision of acceptability of COO if different name is depicted other than supplier in issued invoice.

Decision Taken in 22nd ITC held on 22.07.2021: The Committee noted that this is not a technical issue. The Committee also noted that any name depicted in country of origin certificate, other than the manufacturer or authorized agent (who raised the invoice) should not be accepted. Still if the OEM confirm and submit reasonable justification for such arrangement, then ATUFS may decide issue based on genuineness of the certificate obtained by ATUFS Cell from OEM Now, TUFS cell replied vide note dated 17.05.2022 along with letter from RO Ahmedabad in view of the above.

Submission of RO Ahmedabad:

This office has received a representation from applicant unit for reconsideration of their claim and comments based on that representation as below.

a). As per para 3.3. RR dated 02.08.2018 the machinery purchased from authorized agents.

In this case, invoice has been issued by M/s Zee Yeng Company Limited. which is authorized agent of M/s Jiangsu Runshan Precision Machinery and Technology Co Ltd, China (Manufacturer Company) and enlisted at Sr no. 55 in annex V under ATUFS.

It is pertinent to state here that exporter name mentioned on COO is M/s Suzhou Flaming Company Limited is also authorized agent of M/s Jiangsu Runshan Precision Machinery and Technology Co. Ltd, China (Manufacturer Company) and enlisted at Sr no. 54 in annex V under ATUFS.

In view of the above, it is substantiated that claimed machines have been purchase through authorized agents as described in para 3.3 of RR dt. 02.08.2018.

b). As per para 10.2.2 of RR dated 02.08.2018 only new machinery shall be installed, Second machinery will not be permitted under the scheme

In this case machine Model no. and serial number are engraved on name plate and is legible in photographs submitted with JIT documents and confirmed by manufacturer. However registered trade mark/ registered Logo is also available on the machine which belongs to M/s Jiangsu Runshan Precision Machinery and Technology Co. Ltd, China (Manufacturer Company).

- c). In support of their representation unit submitted below documents,
 - i. Trademark logo registration certificate of Manufacturer Company with translation,
 - ii. Declaration for confirming brand new machines and trade practice in china
 - iii. Letter from beneficiary unit
 - iv. Invoice copy and packing list
 - v. COO
 - vi. MOU and Role of agents

30 miles

By observing all the documents submitted by applicant unit, the fact may be established that claimed machines are new brand and supplied by authorized agents as per para 10.2.2 of RR dt. 02.08.2018. Hence eligible for capital subsidy under ATUFS. Competent Authority may reconsider the case in view of facts explained above.

Section Note:

Certificate (Received from R.O, Ahmadabad) issued & signed by machinery manufacturer and two authorized agents on their letterhead is not available in this section records.

Both agents are enlisted in Annexure – V under ATUFS as authorized agents with similar commission percentage of 0.5%.

It is observed from submitted documents that name mentioned in invoice & packing list as M/s Zee Yeng Company Limited and COO issued by M /s Suzhou Flaming Company Limited. Since only Chinese company can issue COO hence M /s Suzhou Flaming Company Limited issued COO being authorized agent within China.

<u>Decision Taken</u>: The matter related to COO doesn't fall under the purview of ITC as it is not a technical matter. It is a policy decision; hence ATUFS section may take suitable decision in this matteras per direction of 27th TAMC dated 13.04.2022.

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of the ITC and directed that the Committee which will be constituted for deciding all COO matters may look into this case also and submit its finding before next TAMC.

2. Consideration of Logo and name of the brand found on machine plate in absence of name of machine manufacturer under ATUFS.

As per decision of 15th TAMC agenda no. 5, manufacturer should submit a note detailing their branding exercise marketing practices and share their authentic logo. ITC may take view on case to case basis considering the explanation submitted by the manufacturer. TUFS Cell has place two cases i.e. M/S Qingdao Jinsanyang Textile Machinery Co. Ltd, China (Logo—"JSY") and M/s PTMT Srl (Brand name "PANTER").

<u>Decision Taken in 10th ITC dated 18.09.2020:</u> Detailed note to be provided by machine manufacturers for their branding exercise marketing practices, are not submitted, Even their Brand name and logo are not mentioned in Commercial Invoices. Hence ITC has not considered the proposal.

Now ATUFS section submitted the below documents in support of the claim

- i. Trade mark certificate issued by Trademarks Registry, Mumbai,
- ii. Extract page from Intellectual property India (Govt. website),
- iii. Commercial invoice and proforma
- iv. Machine name plate photo,

In addition to that manufacturer declaration also attached which is available in this section records.

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC

SHINIE

Page 18 of 33

<u>Section note:</u> The logo is matching in all the documents (Proforma, Nameplate & declaration) submitted above it was also noted that the logo in the trademark certificate is not identical to the trademark on the proforma invoice and machine name plate

<u>Decision Taken</u>: The committee verified the documents submitted by ATUFS cell i.e. Trademark certificate, Commercial Invoice, Machine name plate photo and Proforma invoice. It is noted that the brand name and logo is not available in commercial invoice as manufacturer does not use its own brand on their document. Hence the committee did not recommend the case.

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of the ITC.

3. TUFS Cell has vided Note dt. 23/05/2022 forwarded the case of M/s. MS Printing Solutions, Italy who issued COO for their Digital Inkjet Printing machines along with Self declaration. The certificates of origin for the said machines were issued by the Manufacturer himself.

TMB/TDS section had requested the unit to clarify the Rule position / policy of the exporting country and the competent authority which authorizes the manufacturer to issue such self certified COO as per 23rd TAMC held on 30.08.2021.

The Manufacture has submitted documents in reference to the email query. Accordingly as decided in the 24th TAMC held on 20.10.2021 the matter is placed before the ITC for a decision in the matter.

<u>Decision Taken</u>: The matter related to COO doesn't fall under the purview of ITC as it is not a technical matter. It is a policy decision; hence ATUFS section may take suitable decision in this matteras per direction of 27th TAMC dated 13.04.2022

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of the ITC and directed that the Committee which will be constituted for deciding all COO matters may look into this case also and submit its finding before next TAMC.

Sub Agenda no . 11 (Agenda Point No 5 of 43rd ITC): Inclusion of Pad Printing Machine under ATUFS.

M/s. Arka International has applied for enlistment of their manufacturing company M/s. Inkcups, USA under ATUFS. The machinery manufacturer has claimed the manufacturing of the machine listed at MC-2-40. However, the machinery description as per undertaking is "PLC controlled fully automatic Pad Printing machine with pneumatic blanket control" whereas as per this office GR the description is "PLC controlled fully automatic flat bed printing machine with pneumatic blanket control". The unit has further informed that the <u>Pad Printing machine</u> is an alternative system for heat transfer label printing for Textiles / Garment Manufacture. The case is placed before ITC to decide on the eligibility of the said machines under ATUFS. The matter was placed in the 23rd ITC meeting held on 29.07.2021 and the decision taken therein is reproduced below:

444

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC

Page 19 of 33

<u>Decision Taken in the 23rd ITC meeting held on 29/7/2021</u>: The Committee opined that Computerized Label Printing Machine is already covered under ATUFS at MC-4-48, hence manufacturer may be asked to confirm the same and if agreed, then revised undertaking may be submitted by them for considering of their request for enlistment under ATUFS.

Accordingly, this office had vide email dt. 10/98/2021 requested the unit to confirm whether their machine is Computer PLC controlled. The unit have vide email dt. 11/08/2021 confirmed that their machine is a pneumatic label printing machine with control panel board and there is no computer inside the machine. As such the following decision was taken in the 24th ITC held on 12.08.2021.

<u>Decision Taken in the 24th ITC meeting held on 12.08.2021</u>: As the machinery manufacturer has not submitted proper clarification and undertaking, hence in absence of proper documents, committee has asked that after submission of proper documents, the case to be placed before the committee for consideration.

Now M/s. Arka International has vide letter dt. 18/11/2021 once again requested to include their pad printing machine under ATUFS.

<u>Decision Taken in 32nd ITC meeting held on 09-12-2021</u>: The committee has authorized Jt.Tx.C. (Shri V.K.Kohli) to contact the supplier and explain to him the requirement of this office for getting their pad printing machine enlisted under ATUFS.

M/s. Arka International has informed that their machine is a pneumatic label printing machine with control panel board and there is no compute inside the machine. As such, they requested to include PLC controlled Fully Automatic Pad Printing Machine as eligible machinery under ATUFS.

<u>Decision Taken in 38th ITC held on 10-03-2022</u>: The Committee has reviewed the matter, as the machinery manufacturer has not submitted proper clarification, hence in absence of proper documents, Committee is not agreed to allow the machine like PLC controlled Fully Automatic Pad Printing Machine as eligible machinery under ATUFS.

As per discussion the unit was reproached for clarification on technology advancement in the machine wherein unit has submitted below details:

- 1)- The Machine is not equipped with PLC/Computer
- 2)- Different from Label printing as there is no fusion, , sticker and curing involved in process.
- 3)- The machine is fully automatic and do not involve manual application except cloth place-in and out while printing.
- 4)- The Pad Printing Engineering (HK) is the competitor company who producing same machines.

Decision Taken in 40 ITC meeting on 12.04.2022 :Mr. Sunder the representative of M/s. Arka International had attended the ITC meeting via video conference, wherein he reiterated that their machine is not having (Programmable Logic Controller)PLC. As Mr. Sunder was unable to clarify the raised by the committee members, the ITC decided to ask the OIC of R.O. Coimbatore to visit the factory premises where these pad printing machines are installed and get the details of its technology and working, including whether the pad printing machine is equipped with a control panel or higher

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC

version of technology which is permitted under ATUFS. RO CBE should submit their report within a month time i.e. on or before 18.05.2022

Now RO CBE submitted the visit report, Operation & Principle of machine working, technical specifications of the machines, supporting documents along with OIC recommendation.

Technical team from RO CBE visited the office M/s. ARKA International, Tirupur (Sole agent of M/s. INK Cups Corporation, Newyork) and subsequently visited M/s. Reia Fashions, Tirupur arranged by sole agent to ascertain the machinery and actual capacity.

Observation and comments as follow:

- i. The process of pad printing machine is not PLC based or higher version of technology. It is working on only Pneumatic pressure manually controlled by valves.
- ii. It has pneumatically operated cylinder/piston for movement operations with manual feeding of garments by operator. Its working principle is simple like putting a stamp on envelope.
- iii. It can be operated manually by operator through pedal for pneumatic operations cylinder. It has very minimal power consumption used for production counter and some basic operation. It can be operated without power also.
- iv. Due to inherent advantages of higher productivity and less time consuming process this method undoubtedly achieve greater importance in the coming years though they have some limitation of printing dark shaded garments and material cost compared to thermal sticking transfer method.

In view of the above the visiting technical team and OIC recommends including this as new eligible machinery as "Pneumatically Operated Tagless Printing Machine for Garments and Garments panel only "under ATUFS.

<u>Decision Taken</u>: The committee examined the physical verification report and noted that machine is not equipped with any PLC/benchmark technology. Hence the committee did not consider the request of inclusion of pad printing machine under ATUFS.

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of the ITC.

Sub Agenda no . 12 (Agenda Point No. 2 of 44th ITC):

M/s Lakshmi Precision Tools Limited, Coimbatore have vide email dt. 13/5/2022 requested this office to change their registered name from M/s. Lakshmi Precision Tools Limited, Coimbatore to M/s. Lakshmi Precision Technologies Limited, Coimbatore. They are already enlisted at Sr. No. 425 of Annexure III, as a manufacturer of Textile machinery. The unit has submitted a copy Certificate of Incorporation pursuant to change of name issued by Ministry of Corporate Affairs dt. 21/09/2021.

Section Note: The Certificate of Incorporation pursuant to change of name issued by Ministry of Corporate Affairs dt. 21/09/2021 has been verified online from the Govt. website.

Earlier Name

Name changed to

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC



M/s.	Lakshmi	Precision	Tools	Limited,	M/s.	Lakshmi	Precision	Technologies	Limited,
Coimb	atore upto	20/09/2021			Coim	batore from	21/09/2021		

<u>Decision Taken:</u>The committee verified the document submitted by the unit and accepted their request for change of name. Accordingly, the entry at Sr. No. 425 of Annexure III may be amended as under:

Sr.No.	Name of the Machinery Manufacturer
425	M/s. Lakshmi Precision Technologies Limited, Coimbatore w.e.f. 21/09/2021 formerly
	known as M/s. Lakshmi Precision Tools Limited, Coimbatore

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of the ITC for change in name of already enlisted unit under ATUFS.

Sub Agenda no . 13 (Agenda Point No.3 of 44th ITC):

M/s. Fabcare Garments and Textile Machinery Private Limited, Delhi have videletter dt. 21/05/2022 requested this office to change their registered name from M/s. Fabcare Garments and Textile Machinery Private Limited, Delhi to M/s. Fabcare (India) Private Limited, Delhi. They are already enlisted at Sr. No. 108 of Annexure III, as a manufacturer of Textile machinery. The unit has submitted a copy Certificate of Incorporation pursuant to change of name issued by Ministry of Corporate Affairs dt. 30/03/2022 along with PAN card ,GST Certificate and Udyam Certificate.

Section Note: The Certificate of Incorporation pursuant to change of name issued by Ministry of Corporate Affairs dt. 21/09/2021 has been verified online from the Govt. website.

Earlier Name	Name changed to
	M/s. Fabcare (India) Private Limited, Delhi from 30/03/2022

<u>Decision Taken:</u> The committee verified the document submitted by the unit and accepted their request for change of name. Accordingly, the entry at Sr. No. 108 of Annexure III may be amended as under:

Sr.No.	Name of the Machinery Manufacturer
108	M/s. Fabcare (India) Private Limited, Delhi w.e.f. 30/03/2022 formerly known as M/s.
	Fabcare Garments and Textile Machinery Private Limited, Delhi

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of the ITC for change in name of already enlisted unit under ATUFS.

Sub Agenda no . 14 (Agenda Point No.4 of 44th ITC):

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC

34110

Page 2.2 of 33

M/s. Thermax Ltd., Pune enlisted as machinery manufacturer under ATUFS at Sr.No. 1, Annexure-III has requested to register their unit at Savli, Gujarat along with their Pune unit. In this connection, they have submitted the following documents:

- 1. Factory license
- 2. Gujarat Pollution Board letter
- 3. GST registration certificate
- 4. ISO certificate
- 5. Audit Report.

Section Note- The Audit report covers the manufacturing unit based on Savli, Gujarat. The unit is also verified in website and found in order.

<u>Decision Taken:</u> After scrutinizing the documents submitted by the unit, the committee acceded to the request of M/s. Thermax Ltd to enlist their second manufacturing unit at Savli, Gujarat as under:

Sr.No.	Name of the Machinery Manufacturer
1	M/S. THERMAX LIMITED
	MANUFACTURING UNIT: 1& II
	PUNE
	MANUFACTURING UNIT: III
	SAVLI, GUJARAT

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee ratified recommendations of ITC for addition of one more address of manufacturing activities of already enlisted unit under ATUFS.

Sub Agenda no . 15 (Agenda Point No.5 of 44th ITC):

ATUFS vide note dated 23.03.2022 forwarded JIT report in respect of M/s Stretchmax Industries, Surat.M/s Stretchmax Industries (Beneficiary Unit) purchased Narrow Width Shuttleless High Speed Automatic Needle Loom from M/s Shamots International; Surat (enlisted as manufacturer at Sr No-45 Annexure-IV). During JIT it has been noticed that machine plate consists another embossed logo as "KyangYhe". KyangYhe logo pertains to KyangYhe Delicate Machine Co. Ltd.- A Taiwan based company.

On clarification sought in this regard from the manufacturer, M/s Shamots International informed that these machines are manufactured by them in the brand of KyangYhe. M/s Shamots International also informed that KyangYhe Delicate Machine Co Ltd has permitted them to use their brand in India (enclosed letter from KyangYhe), however they did not submit any legal document in this support.

<u>Decision Taken in 39th ITC meeting:</u> - The committee reviewed the documents submitted by the unit i.e. M/s Shamots International, which seems to be the authorized agent of M/s KyangYhe Delicate Machine Co Ltd. Since, only those units which are actually manufacturing benchmarked quality textile machinery are eligible for enlistment under ATUFS, hence the unit may be called to submit clarification to prove their credentials as machinery manufacturer of benchmarked technology with legal documentary proof.

Now M/s Shamots International has submitted below documents in order to prove the manufacturing activity,

- a)- Udyam Aadhar Certificate
- b)- Factory License
- c)- ISO Certificate Copy
- d)- License agreement with KY Kyang- Yho
- e)- Photos of factories
- f)- Catalogue

Section note: From the license agreement it is noted that M/s Shamots International is an Assembler of machine from spare parts received from the KyangYhe, Taiwan.

<u>Decision Taken:</u> After due deliberation in the matter the committee decided to call for details of the value addition and customization if any, made by M/s Shamots International on the Narrow Width Shuttle Less High-SpeedNeedle Looms imported in CKD form from KyangYhe, Taiwan.

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee directed to examine this case very carefully and if required status of manufacturing activities of M/s Shamots International also to be reexamined.

Sub Agenda no . 16 (Agenda Point No.6 of 44th ITC): Case of M/s Urja Products

TUFS Cell vide e-mail dated 28.02.2022 forwarded case pertaining to M/s Urja products Pvt. Ltd., Ahmadabad.

M/s Urja products (beneficiary Unit) purchased High Speed rapier Loom from M/s Wanli Textile Machinery Co Ltd, China (Enlisted as manufacturer in Annexure-III at Sr No-153). The invoice raised by -M/s Zhejiang Wanli Limited, China (Not available in database) Machine Name Plate- M/s Wanli Textile Machinery Co Limited.

In this regard RO-Ahmadabad vide letter No.- 17/21/2172/2019/ATUFS/ROA/379 dated 25.02.2022 forwarded clarification submitted by manufacturer alongwith supporting document (Self declaration letter dated 30.06.2021) as such Zhejiang Wanli Limited and Wanli Textile Machinery Co. Ltd. is under the same ownership. M/s Zhejiang Wanli Limited is short form of M/s Wanli Textile Machinery Co Ltd.

However, manufacturer submitted further declaration vide dated 10.02.2022 certified by China Council for the Promotion of International Trade China Chamber of International Commerce that Zhejiang Wanli Limited comprises 100% share and voting rights however M/s Wanli Textile Machinery Co Ltd comprises 60.2% share & voting rights with different company registration no's under the same ownership.

The matter was placed in 38th ITC and it was discussed to call legal documents to establish relationship between the entities.

In lieu of above, unit has submitted MOU between the entities for the period 1/1/2014 to 31/12/2018. Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7^{th} Meeting of IMSC

34115

Page 24 of 33

Decision Taken in 40th ITC 12-04-2022: As this is an administrative matter and not a technical issue, hence the committee opined that the matter does not come under the purview of ITC.

Now beneficiary unit has submitted below documents:

1. Bill of lading (3 Nos) raised by Zhejiang Wanli Limited for High-Speed Rapier Loom, spare parts for Rapier Loom and G Twister machine.

<u>Decision Taken:</u> The Committee noted that the submitted documents does not establishes any relation between the enlisted unit M/s. Wanli Textile Machinery Co Ltd, China and M/s Zhejiang Wanli Limited, China who has raised the invoice. As such the committee decided to retain the decision taken in the 40th ITC dt. 12-04-2022 as follows "As this is an administrative matter and not a technical issue, hence the committee opined that the matter does not come under the purview of ITC"

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee ratified the recommendations of the ITC.

<u>Sub Agenda no . 17 (Agenda Point No.7 of 44th ITC)</u>: Case of Label weaving machine supplied by MEI International S.R.L, Italy: -

M/s MEI International SRL had requested to amend certain conditions laid down for enlistment (MEI Label Weaving Machines as a machine type) in A-TUFS.

<u>Decision taken in 18th TAMC dated 09.10.2020</u>: The matter was placed and decided in 18th meeting of TAMC to consider the MEI Label Weaving Machines as a main machine, since it is used for manufacturing of labels for fixing on the garments / Made ups subject to the following conditions:

- i. The unit should submit the original invoice of shuttle less loom manufacturer addressed to M/s. MEI International along with the shipping documents. (Packing List /Bill of Lading /Bill of Entry / Country of Origin)
- ii. The date of invoice of the Shuttle less Loom should not be more than 6 months older than the invoice of M/s. MEI International.
- iii. The machine should fulfill the speed criteria of concerned shuttle less loom as per ATUFS guidelines.
- iv. Eligibility of machine shall be allowed hence forth (prospectively).
- v. Only 20% value addition on base price of loom with Dobby / Jacquard will be considered for eligible subsidy

Decision taken in 19th TAMC meeting dated 23.12.2020 - The Committee opined that this issue needs more deliberations to understand the practical difficulties in considering the request of the machine manufacturer and since this machine is required to support garment /made-ups manufactures and home textiles manufacturers to fulfill their demands of labels as it has unique value addition by modification of a shuttle less loom with jacquard to manufacture woven labels. Therefore, Textile Commissioner may decide the issue after due consultations with the machine manufacture and Chairman, AEPC etc. for consideration of eligible subsidy subject to conditions met from (i) to (v) as below: -

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC

34 1010

Page 25 of 33

- i. The unit should submit the original invoice of shuttleless loom manufacturer addressed to M/s. MEI International along with the shipping documents. (Packing List /Bill of Lading /Bill of Entry / Country of Origin)
- ii. The date of invoice of the Shuttle less Loom should not be more than 6 months older than the invoice of M/s. MEI International.
- iii. The machine should fulfill the speed criteria of concerned shuttle less loom as per ATUFS guidelines.
- iv. Eligibility of machine shall be allowed hence forth (prospectively).
- v. Only 20% value addition on base price of loom with Dobby / Jacquard will be considered for eligible subsidy.

Now the Company has again represented that they are willing to provide the following documents in lieu of original invoice of their shuttle less looms.

- 1. Declaration from MEI International disclosing the serial no. of loom and Date of Manufacturing inscribed in the loom and also in a separate note of declaration.
 - 2. Documents addressed to MEI International by the Shuttle less loom manufacturers
- a. Declaration for serial no. and Date of loom delivered.
- b. Packing list / delivery note/ bill of lading/bill of entry /country of origin as it may be applicable

They have also informed that all other terms and conditions will gracefully be adhered by them and they will be very grateful if the clause regarding invoice requirement is waived off.

Decision Taken in 18th ITC meeting dated 26.02.2021: As a trade practice if M/s. MEI International is the looms purchased in bulk quantity, they cannot disclose their price. However, as decided earlier by the ITC we have to consider 20% additional cost on the basic price of the looms with jacquard. Hence, cost of loom with jacquard will be required to work out 20% additional cost in the form of value addition by MEI International. M/s. MEI International has now proposed to submit the bill of lading/bill of entry addressed to them by the loom manufacturer and the bill of entry is having the details regarding number of machines and value of the machines imported, hence, the cost may be worked out easily even though they are not providing invoice of the loom manufacturer to them.

In view of the above, the committee agreed to consider their proposal, however as decided by the 19th TAMC the Textile Commissioner may take final view on this matter.

The matter was taken up in 21st meeting of TAMC held on 02.03.2021 and decision is reproduced:

Decision taken in 21st TAMC Meeting held on 2-3-2021: The TAMC decided that it is a unique and an essential machine for preparing woven labels which are required by apparel industry and there is only one manufacturer available, hence, we need to look at specific arrangements to enable access to their technology and also for the arrangement of doing value addition by MEI International on the shuttleless looms with jacquard. To facilitate said unique technology as required by industry, we have to accept the invoice of MEI International for said machine though machine indicates machines plates of MEI International as well as shuttleless manufacturer. The value addition will be restricted to 20% of the basic cost of shuttleless loom with jacquard as

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC



recommended by ITC. Such arrangement in this case is recommended by TAMC for consideration by MOT/IMSC since machine used for manufacturing of woven labels which are categories as technical textiles (Cloth-Tech).

The matter was taken up in 5th meeting of IMSC held on 22.10.2021 and decision is reproduced:

<u>Decision of 5th meeting of IMSC dated 22.10.2021:</u> IMSC decided to allow proprietary of Label weaving machine.

Now, MEI INTERNATIONAL SRL vide email dated 10.02.2022 have submitted the supplier declaration format for their customers in India.

Further, MEI has requested to consider the following documents as per direction of TAMC regarding components purchased from sub suppliers:

The Transport inside European community and several other participating countries is ruled by the Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage Goods by Road (CMR) (http://www.unece.org/trans/conventn/legalinst-25-OLIRT_CMR.ht) from the UNECE United Nations Economic Commission for Europe.

- The CMR is the document to identify the goods and movement in place of bill of entry or bill of lading. In conformity with this Regulations MEI INTERNATIONAL SRL receives all goods from their European suppliers with "CMR" and can supply this as evidence to identify the goods and date of delivery.
- o For supplies outside the European community, like the TOYOTA AIRJET LOOM, MEI INTERNATIONAL SRL will provide the related BOL.

Moreover, MEI has declared that independent from the loom producer, the basic loom configuration by suppliers are specific versions for Woven Label Machines which are not able to weave without the application of MEI INTERNATIONAL SRL.

<u>Decision taken in 37th ITC dated 24.02.2022:</u> The committee recommended adhering to the decision taken in previous ITC/ TAMC/IMSC meeting and unit should submit the requisite documents as per their earlier submission made vide letter dt.7/12/2020. In the event they are unable to submit the details due to any Non-disclosure Agreement with the manufacturers, they may be requested to submit a copy of the same in order to consider their request.

<u>Section note</u>: As per the decision of 37th ITC held on 24.02.2022, TMMA submitted a copy confidential costing document which indicates basic cost of the rapier loom specially preset for label application. However, cost of jacquard and Dobby is yet not available.

The cost of the rapier loom as per their prerequisite specifications is 51,800 Euro/loom.

<u>Decision Taken in 41st ITC dated 27.04.2022:</u> The committee decided to get the price details of Airjet and Rapier Looms of Dornier make also and Airjet Loom of ITEMA make to derive the eligible subsidy amount after considering 20% value addition cost supplied by MEI. Accordingly, the committee requested the representative of TMMA to submit the said details also. Further it was also

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC

decided to call for the details of the average cost of electronic jacquard and dobby from ATUFS Section.

<u>Section note</u>: Now, TMMA submitted the cost of DORNIER make Airjet loom having reed drawing width of max.190 cm and prerequisite specifications suitable for electronic jacquard.

From the submitted documents the total cost of 10 looms including weaving accessories and tools (required for installation) is 1147802 Euro. Hence cost per loom is 114780.2 Euro. In addition to that cost of Syncro drive system is 6004 Euro/loom.

The cost of single Airjet loom with Syncro drive system is 120784.2 Euro/loom.

ATUFS section replied to the note vide email dated 11.05.2022 and informed that "price bifurcation is not available with this section for the locms with label weaving attachment supplied by M/s. MEI International"

<u>Decision Taken in 42ndITC meeting dated 12.05.2022:</u> The Committee decided to get the price details of standalone electronic jacquard and dobby from ATUFS Section. The details of all such pending claims of M/s MEI International SRL along with price details and copy of invoice may also be called for from ATUFS Section to arrive at the average cost of the loom supplied by MEI International SRL. TMMA was also requested to re-confirm the price of DORNIER make Airjet loom as some of the committee members felt that the price quoted by TMMA is on the higher side.

Now TMMA submitted the clarification from the MEI stating that the prices are depend order quantities, specifications and current market scenario. Hence direct comparison is not providing correct output.

In addition to that TMMA submitted the import data available for the fiscal year 2021-22 for arriving average price as below.

Note- The below data is confidential and for reference during the meeting. The same may be removed during uploading into public domain.

<u>Decision Taken 43rd ITC held on 26.05,2022:</u> - The committee decided to get additional specification details along with invoice copies of MEI cases from ATUFS section for further deliberation on this subject.

Committee Opinion: MEI International vide letter dated 07.12.2020 had agreed to submit the requisite documents as Bill of lading/ Bill of Entry etc in order to arrive to price of Loom and Jacquard & further eligible subsidy amount under ATUFS as per decision taken in 18th ITC dated 26.02.2021 and ratified by 21st TAMC dated 02.03.2022. However, due to non-disclosure agreement, manufacturer could not submit. Accordingly, the committee as decided in 41st, 42nd and 43rd ITC meetings, arrived at the base price of Airjet and Rapier Looms, based on the import data provided by TMMA and the cost of electronic jacquard based on the data provided by ATUFS Section. After adding 20% value addition to the base price of loom and jacquard, the final amount is derived to calculate the eligible subsidy amount of the label weaving machine supplied by M/s MEI International SRL. Accordingly, the base price of Type-1is fixed at 46,510 Euro, Type-2 at 41773 Euro and Type-3 at 115588 Euro. The price of Jacquard is fixed at 10.16 Euro per hook. The formula derived by the committee to calculate the eligible subsidy amount to dispose of the existing

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC.

34 RUIS

Page 28 of 33

ATUFS subsidy claims pertaining to label weaving machines supplied by M/s MEI International SRL is as under: -

MAKE	Average Price (in Euro)	Price of Jac	equard (per h	look)	Base Loom+ Jacquard	MEI Value Addition	Total price including 20% value addition	Eligible amount for calculating subsidy
	(A) Base Loom Price	(B) No. of Hooks	(C) Cost per hook	(B) * (C) = D	A+D=E	20%of E = F	E+F=G	Either "G" or
Type-1 Eg.1	46510	2688	10.16	27310.08	73820.08	14764.01	88584.10	"MEI Invoice Value"(whic
Type-2 Eg.2	41773	2688	10.16	27310.08	69082.65	13816.53	82899.18	hever is lesser).
Type-3 Eg. 3	115588	2688	10.16	27310.08	142897.71	28579.54	171477.3	icsser).


^{*}All prices are in Euro

<u>Decision taken:</u>The case may be referred to TAMC for further deliberation and decision on the opinion derived by the committee on basis of both tables.

The TAMC may review and ratify the decision of ITC.

115

Decision Taken by 29th TAMC: The Committee is of the view that base rate cannot be presumed and it was supposed to inform by MEI International. However, they are not informing citing the procedure being adopted in European countries and no Bill of Entry is required for importing goods from one European country to another European country. It is being governed based on the Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage Goods by Road (CMR) (http://www.unece.org/trans/conventn/legalinst_25_OLIRT_CMR.ht) from the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe. Hence, alternate mode proposed by ITC may be submitted before the next meeting of TAMC.



^{**(}B): No of hooks are variable and to calculate as per no of hooks available in supplied machine as per JIT report

SR. NO.	NAME OF THE UNIT	ELIGIBLE TEXTILE MACHINE COVERED UNDER WHICH ANNEXURE OF ATUFS	
INDI	GENOUS		
1	REGISTERED OFFICE: M/S. SYSTECH AQUA SOLUTION, TIRUPUR MANUFACTURING UNIT: M/S. SYSTECH AQUA SOLUTION, ERODE	MC-2-9	
2	M/S. AKSHARA WATER TREATMENT, ERODE	MC-2-9, MC-2-36, MC-2-37	
3	M/S. COMP-TECH EQUIPMENTS LTD., GUJARAT	MC-1-A-8, MC-1-C-10, MC-2-81	
4	M/S. UNITEDMIZU INDUSTRIES PVT. LTD, THANE.	MC-2-86	
5	M/S. ALPINE KNITS INDIA PVT. LTD., TIRUPUR, TAMIL NADU (AUTE. AGENT OF M/S. PEGASUS SEWING MACHINE MANUFACTURING CO, LTD., JAPAN AND ITS SUBSIDIARY UNITS)		5%
6	M/S. TEXTILE ENGINEERING CO. MC-2-39 THANE		
7	M/S. PRABHAT TEXTILE CORPORATION, GUJARAT	MC-2-58, MC-2-63	
8	M/S. NIRTECH PVT. LTD., THANE	MC-2-9	
9	M/S. LIBERTY EMBRO EXIM PVT, LTD., SURAT (AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. ZHEJIANG XINSHENG TECHNOLOGY CORP., LTD. CHINA)		4%
10	M/S. SHREE GANESH ENGINEERING WORKS SURAT .	MC-2-9, MC-2-24, MC-2-41, MC-2-42, MC-2-57, MC-2-59, MC-2-73, MC-2-75, MC-1-B-2, MC-1-B-3	
11	M/S. IIGM PVT. LTD., BANGALORE (AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. HASHIMA CO. LTD., JAPAN)		5%
ABRO	DAD		
1	M/S. TERROT GMBH, GERMANY	MC-1-C-1, MC-3-D-2, MC-1(A)-B-1, MC-1(A)-B-9	
2	M/S. GLOBAL EMB-TECH PTE. LTD., SINGAPORE (AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. TISM CO, LTD., JAPAN {FORMERLY KNOWN AS TOKAI INDUSTRIAL SEWING MACHINE CO, LTD., JAPAN})		8%
3	M/S. HEBEI SHIPU MACHINERY TECHNOLOGY CO, LTD., CHINA (AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. SHIJIAZHUANG SANJIE MACHINERY EQUIPMENT CO, LTD., CHINA)		3%
4	M/S. GUANGZHOU LIGHT INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS L AND E LIMITED., CHINA (AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. GUANGZHOU CNDING ROBOT CO, LTD., CHINA)	h,	5%

Minutes of 29th meeting of TAMC to be read with 7th Meeting of IMSC

-			
SI	NAME OF THE ENTY	ELIGIBLE TEXTILE MACHINE COVERED UNDER WHICH ANNEXURE OF ATUFS	MOU FOR AUTHORIZ ED AGENT WITH % OF COMMISSI ON
IN	DIGENOUS	the second of	
1	REGISTERED OFFICE: M/S. SYSTECH AQUA SOLUTION, TIRUPUR MANUFACTURING UNIT: M/S. SYSTECH AQUA SOLUTION, ERODE	MC-2-9	
2	M/S. AKSHARA WATER TREATMENT, ERODE	MC-2-9, MC-2-36, MC-2-37	
3	M/S. COMP-TECH EQUIPMENTS LTD., GUJARAT	MC-1-A-8, MC-1-C-10, MC-2-81	
4	M/S. UNITEDMIZU INDUSTRIES PVT. LTD, THANE.	MC-2-86	
5	M/S. ALPINE KNITS INDIA PVT. LTD., TIRUPUR, TAMIL NADU (AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. PEGASUS SEWING MACHINE MANUFACTURING CO, LTD., JAPAN AND ITS SUBSIDIARY UNITS)		5%
6	M/S. TEXTILE ENGINEERING CO. THANE	MC-2-39	
7	M/S. PRABHAT TEXTILE • CORPORATION, GUJARAT	MC-2-58, MC-2-63	
8	M/S. NIRTECH PVT. LTD., THANE	MC-2-9	
9	M/S. LIBERTY EMBRO EXIM PVT, LTD., SURAT (AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. ZHEJIANG XINSHENG TECHNOLOGY CORP., LTD. CHINA)		4%
10	M/S. SHREE GANESH ENGINEERING WORKS SURAT.	MC-2-9, MC-2-24, MC-2-41, MC-2-42, MC-2-57, MC-2-59, MC-2-73, MC-2-75, MC-1-B-2, MC-1-B-3	
1	M/S. IIGM PVT. LTD., BANGALORE (AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. HASHIMA CO. LTD., JAPAN)		5%
AF	BROAD	/	
1	M/S. TERROT GMBH, GERMANY	MC-1-C-1, MC-3-D-2, MC-1(A)-B-1, MC-1(A)-B-9	
2	M/S. GLOBAL EMB-TECH PTE. LTD., SINGAPORE (AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. TISM CO, LTD., JAPAN {FORMERLY KNOWN AS TOKAI INDUSTRIAL SEWING MACHINE CO, LTD., JAPAN})		8%

	M/S. HEBEI SHIPU MACHINERY TECHNOLOGY CO, LTD., CHINA		3%
	The state of the s		
	SHIJIAZHUANG SANJIE MACHINE	RY	
	EQUIPMENT CO, LTD., CHINA)		
	M/S. GUANGZHOU LIGHT		
	INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY		
	INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS L AND E		5%
	The state of the s	F	
	M/S. GUANGZHOU CNDING ROBOT CO, LTD., CHINA)		
	- Jarba Cilina		
1	M/S. TOLKAR MAKINA SANAYI VE	MC-4-88 MC 4 97 3 56	
	TICARET ANONIUM SIRKETI,	MC-4-88, MC-4-87, MC-4-116, MC-2-75	
	M/S DANITECH TO	2-13	-
6	M/S. DANITECH ENGINEERING AND	MC-2-39 MC 2 6	
		MC-2-39, MC-2-6, MC-2-57, MC-2-41	
7	M/S. FUJIAN XINGFANG PRECISION	MC-1-C-1	
-	THE COURT OF THE C		
8	D. S. L.R. SCHMITT NACHEOLOGIC	MC-3-H-16	
	T TOTAL OLIVIANV	112-2-11-10	
_	M/S. SEWING SOLUTIONS LIMITED.,		
9			5%
	The state of the s		
4.0			
10	M/S. ZHEJIANG JIANDA MACHINERY CO, LTD., CHINA	MC-3-H-14	
1.		2.70-714	
11	M/S.CHANGSHU SAIL NONWOVEN	MC-3-G-VI MC 3 G	
	MACHINE CO, LTD., CHINA	MC-3-G-VI, MC-3-G-VII	
12	M/S IEM INIDIAGOD	MC-4-69 MC 4-69	
	M/S. IEN INDUSTRIE S.P.A., ITALY	MC-4-69, MC-4-68, MC-4-88,	
		MC-4-89, MC-4-92, MC-4-93, MC-4-109	OK 1
13	M/S. CAN MAKINA SANAYI VE DIS	MC-4-1 MC 4.2	
	TOTAL TOTAL CONTRACTOR	MC-4-1, MC-4-3, MC-4-21	
	ISTANBUL, TURKEY		
14	M/S. ZHUJI GAMA	MC-4-47	
	ELECTROMECHANICAL	*********	
-	MANUFACTURING CO, LTD., CHINA		
)	THE WELL MACHINERY	MC-3-G-1-IV	
	, 011111/1		
6	M/S. LIDEM CONSTRUCCIONES	MC 4.21 NG	
	THECHIVICAS, S.I. SPAINT	MC-4-21, MC-4-30	
7 1 1	W/S. CHUZHOLI HILA CHENIC	MC 2 F 0 7	
1	MACHINERY CO., LTD. CHINA	MC-3-E-9, MC2-77, MC-3-H-	

the state of the s



ANNEXURE-II

SR. No	NAME OF THE UNIT	ELIGIBLE TEXTILE MACHINE COVERED UNDER WHICH ANNEXURE OF ATUFS	MOU FOR AUTHORIZED AGENT WITH % OF COMMISSION
	INDIGENOUS		
1	M/s. Shital Enterprise, Surat	MC-2-9, MC-2-36, MC-2-37	
2	M/s. K K Engineering, Gujarat	MC-3 -W-16	
3	M/s. Singla Engineering, Haryana	MC-2-8	
	M/s. Jandu Engineering Works	MC-3-H-1, MC-3- H-4, , MC-3-I-11, MC-3-H-6	
4			· ·
5	M/s. Magnum Resources Pvt Ltd., New Delhi (Auth. Agent of M/s. Pegasus Sewing Machine Manufacturing Co Ltd., Japan)		5%
6	M/s. Sara International Pvt Ltd., New Delhi (Auth. Agent of M/s. Pegasus Sewing Machine Manufacturing Co Ltd., Japan)		5%
	ABROAD		
1	M/s. Lectra., France	MC-4-21	
2	M/s. Shanghai Sail International Trading Co, Ltd., China (Auth. Agent of M/s. Changshu Sail Nonwoven Machine Co. Ltd., China)		2%



List of attendees

S. No.	Name and organisation		
1.0	Ms. Roop Rashi, Textile Commissioner - In Chair		
2.	Ms. Usha Pralhad Pol, Deputy Director General, OTxC, Mumbai		
3.	Shri S P Verma, Additional Textile Commissioner, OTxC, Mumbai		
4.	Shri V K Kohli, Joint Textile Commissioner, OTxC, Mumbai		
5.	Ms. Avni Gupta, Deputy Director, OtxC, Mumbai		
6.	Shri Sivakumar S . Deputy Director , OTXC Mumbai		
7.	Shri N.K. Singh, Assistant Director, OtxC, Mumbai		
8.	Shri. Narottam Kumar, Assistant Director, OtxC, Mumbai		
9.	Shri, B.K. Sahoo, Assistant Director, OtxC, Mumbai		
10.	Shri. C R Kalesan, Assistant Director, OtxC, Mumbai		
11.	Shri Bharat Gandhi Chairman, FIASWI, Surat		
12.	Dr. Anup Rakshit E.D., Indian Technical Textile Association (ITTA), Mumbai		
13.	Shri Charanjeev Singh President, Ludhiana Knitwear Club, Ludhiana		
14.	Shri Mohan Sadhwani E.D., CMAI, Mumbai		
15.	Shri Sachin Kumar E.D. Textile Machinery Manufacturers Association, Mumbai		
16.	Shri S Balaraju E.D., Synthetic and Rayon Textiles Promotion Council, Mumbai		
17.	Ms. Chandrima, Confederation of Indian Textile Industry, New Delhi		
18.	Dr. K. Selvaraju S.G., The Southern India Mills' Association, Coimbatore		
19.	Shri Sanjay Dudeja, AEPC		
20,	Shri Pankaj Agarwa!, IJMA, Kolkata.		
21.	Shri Avinash Magdum, PDEXCIL, Mumbai		
22.	Representative TUFS Cell, IDBI Bank, Mumbai		
23.	Shri R.P. Gupta TUFS Cell, Small Industries Development Bank of India(SIDBI),		
24.	Shri Jhumadeb, Small Industries Development Bank of India(SIDBI),		
25.	Shri Sybil Marques, Representative Synthetic and Rayon Textiles Promotion Council, Mumbai		
26.	Representative of , TUFS Cell, State Bank of India, Mumbai		
27.	Prashant Srivastava, TUFS Cell, Central Bank of India, Mumbai		
28.	Shri Mukesh Kumar, TUFS Cell, Bank of India, Mumbai		
29.	Ms. Prajakta Surwade, Bank of India,		
30.	Shri A Kaustubh, TUFS Cell, Bank of Baroda, Mumbai		
31.	Shri Rajiv Ranjan, Bank of Baroda.		
32.	Shri Ajay Singh TUFS Cell Punjab National Bank.		
33.	Representative, Apparel Export Promotion Council Mumbai		