

**Minutes of the 17th meeting of the Technical Advisory - Cum - Monitoring Committee (TAMC)
under A-TUFS held on 29.07.2020 at 03.00 PM through Video Conferencing.**

17th meeting of the TAMC on Amended Technology Upgradation Funds Scheme (ATUFS) was held under the Chairmanship of Ms Roop Rashi, IA&AS Textile Commissioner on 29.07.2020 at 03.00 PM through Video Conferencing. The list of participants is enclosed at **Annexure-I**.

At the outset, Ms Usha Pralhad Pol, Deputy Director General and Convener of TAMC welcomed Ms Roop Rashi newly appointed Textile Commissioner and all the participants for the virtual meeting through video conferencing and requested Textile Commissioner to enlighten the participants with her views. After brief interaction with the participants, Textile Commissioner requested Ms Usha Pralhad Pol, DDG to present the agenda for discussion before the Committee.

The agenda-wise decisions of TAMC are as follows;

Agenda No.01: Confirmation of the minutes of the 16th TAMC meeting held on 04.03.2020

Minutes of the 16th meeting of TAMC held on 06.03.2020 were circulated to all members and placed before the Committee. As no comments/suggestions were received from the members of TAMC, the minutes are taken to be confirmed.

Agenda No.02: Review of Progress of TUFS

a. Fund allocation and Expenditure under TUFS in 2019-20 (as on 31.03.2020):

Rs. in Crore

Sr. No.	Scheme	Allocation	Claims approved	Fund Released
1.	MTUFS	700	0*	0*
2.	RTUFS		0.43*	0.43*
3.	RRTUFS		58.9*	58.82*
4.	ATUFS		234.19	197.32
5.	MMS		62.44	58.23
TOTAL			359.0#	317.8#

Note : 1.# includes admn. Expenses of Rs. 3.00 Crs.

2. *As per the decision of 3rd IMSC held on 27th February 2019, claims under previous TUFS (except MMS) are being processed based on reports of physical verifications by special JIT.

The committee was apprised that the gap between the claims approved and funds released mainly due to non receipt of Mandate documents required for release of funds through PFMS from the units, delay in validation of bank accounts or decline of validation from the lending agencies.

Decision of TAMC:

The Committee viewed/opined that members from Banks and Industry Associations shall sensitize the units for submission of mandated documents in advance, which are required for release of subsidy, if found eligible. Banks should expedite the process of validation of account of the units, whenever being requested through PFMS. In case the original term loan account could not be

38/10/20
17/08/2020

validated, then they may ascertain the problem and rectify the same and as an alternate, details of current account/OD account of the unit shall be validated and shared with the Office of Textile Commissioner. In any case, Banks should not share personal account of the entity with the Office of Textile Commissioner.

b. ATUFS (position as on 24th July 2020):

The total subsidy cap available - Rs. 5151 Crore

UIDs are being auto generated w.e.f.9th August 2019. So far 10259 UIDs with subsidy amounting to Rs 3067.75 crs have been issued.

The progress was placed before the committee for information.

Committee noted the progress.

Agenda No.03: Ratifying the list of machinery manufacturers/authorized agents recommended by Internal Technical Committee

The Internal Technical Committee (ITC) in its meeting held on 07.07.2020 has recommended enlistment of 07 machinery manufacturers. The list has been placed at Annexure -I for ratification by TAMC.

Decision of TAMC:

The Committee ratified inclusion of 07 (seven) machinery manufacturers enclosed at Annexure-I

Agenda No. 04: To do away with the processing of applications for JIT extension as per para 10.2.5 and 6.4.6 of GR dated 02.08.2018 and 29.02.2016 respectively subject to placement of purchase order for the machinery eligible under ATUFS within one year from the date of sanction of term loan.

Comments of O/o TxC: As per the GRs under ATUFS, units need to submit JIT (Joint inspection team) request for verification of machines eligibility within period of **one year from the date of sanction of term loan**. Submission of request for physical inspections of machineries by JIT is extendable beyond one year with the approval of Textile Commissioner on case to case basis subject to submission of documentary proof of order of purchase of all eligible machines ,mentioned in UID, placed within one year as per the para 10.2.5 of RR dated 02.08.2018.

However, as per the para 10.3.3 of RR dated 02.08.2018, the unit can add / delete machines claimed in UID at the time of submission of JIT request. Therefore, machinery listed in the UID may not be treated as final. The process of request of unit for JIT extension beyond one year based on the purchase order/ invoices for all the machineries indicated in UID (as per 10.2.5. of RR) consumes valuable time of available resources. In last one year, office has received 406 requests for extension of JIT beyond one year out of total 2688 units applied for JITs, (JIT extension requests received for 172 out of the total JIT requests received from 448 units during the last 3 months). The extension for submission of JIT request was granted in 228 cases. Percentage of cases processed for JIT extension constitutes about 15% of total requests received. The time required to process the requests has been about 100 mandays.

30/11/20
17/8/2020

It is considered in the interest of the unit to purchase and install machineries as soon as possible and within one year of the date sanction of term loan to enable early production. However, for cases of delay presumably because of transactional issues need not require vetting at each stage. Hence it is considered to allow submission of request upto two year subject to **placement of purchase order for the machinery eligible under ATUFS within one year from the date of sanction of term loan by the unit and same shall be verified by the JIT team at the time of inspection of machines.**

In order to utilize existing manpower for minimum Government and maximum governance, processing of JIT extension beyond one year may be done away with and allow submission of JIT request upto two years of sanction of term loans **subject to placement of purchase order for the machinery eligible under ATUFS within one year from the date of sanction of term loan.** For this necessary modifications in the para 10.2.5 of RR under ATUFS need to be made which is under the ambit of MoT.

Decision of TAMC:

The committee deliberated on the issue and recommended formodifications in the para 10.2.5 of RR under ATUFS so as to permit submission of JIT request up to two years of sanction of Term Loan subject to the submission of documentary proof for placement of purchase order for the machinery eligible under ATUFS within one year from the date of sanction of term loan for verification of the same by the JIT members at the time of inspection of machines.

Since the recommendation involves modification in GR, the Committee recommended for taking up the matter with IMSC /MoT.

Agenda No. 05: Revision of para 5.2.1 and 5.4 of GR under ATUFS dated 29.02.2019 and 02.08.2018 respectively for MSME and NON-MSME units:

ATUFS has been launched with a view to subsidies the segment under textile manufacturing as per para 3.1 of GR dated 02.08.2018. Since the scheme is credit linked, the capital investment subsidy (CIS) shall be available to the unit on availing term loan from notified lending agency with minimum 50% to the total eligible machinery cost under the project. However, the minimum repayment period of the term loan sanctioned for availing the benefit of the scheme shall not be less than three year including moratorium period for MSME and not less than five years for Non MSME.

This office is in receipt of representations from Associations to bring down period of repayment for Non MSME units as the existing period of repayment of term loan incurs a huge interest cost and leads to fade the benefit provided by the Government in terms of subsidy.

TAMC was requested to deliberate on the issue and offer the comments for considering the agenda so as to take up the matter for necessary modifications in the para under ATUFS as this falls under the ambit of MoT.

30/11/20
17/08/2020

Decision of TAMC:

The Committee recommended the eligibility criteria, minimum repayment period (including moratorium period if any) of the term loan sanctioned for availing the benefit under ATUFS shall be same for MSME/ Non MSME. Since the said recommendation involves modification in GR, the Committee recommended for taking up the matter with MoT.

Agenda No. 06: Re-visiting the subsidy cap vis-à-vis investment in MSME sector under ATUFS.

This office has received representations for raising segmental subsidy cap from existing Rs. 20 Crore to Rs. 50 Crore in view of the recent revision in MSME policy.

In this regard it is stated that that the revised definition of MSME has been put into place by Honb'le Minister of Finance Smt Nirmala Sitaraman on account of "Aatmanirbhar Bharat Abhiyaan" as a special economic booster post COVID-19.

As per new definition there will be no more distinction between manufacturing and services sectors. Further MSME units are now called as MICRO units provided that they have invested upto Rs.1.00 crs and turnover less than of Rs. 5.00 crs. For MSME to be called as SMALL unit the investment has been increased to Rs. 10.00 crs and turnover less than of Rs. 50.00 crs. Similarly for MEDIUM unit the investment and turnover limit has been re-capped to less than Rs. 50 Crs and Rs.250 crs respectively (Circular attached).

Under ATUFS the subsidy benefit of Rs. 20 / 30 crs has been prescribed for investments in ATUFS compatible and eligible machineries only whereas the new definition for MSME is applicable for total investment in project including land and building etc. Subsidy cap under ATUFS does not restrict entities with large investment from applying for benefits. The purpose of a ceiling is to ensure wider spread of benefits across the industry by preventing concentration of subsidy in the hand of a few entities. Through capping of benefits, more units are able to apply for subsidy within the allocation of Rs. 5151 Crs funds earmarked for ATUFS in its implementation period (2016-2022). *The cap limits subsidy but not investment*, and hence the need for revising the subsidy cap due to a concomitant increase in investment threshold of MSMEs is not evident.

However, if needed at a later stage the matter may be taken up with the MoT at the time of formulation of new schemes to support technology upgradation in case cost of those upgradation are not found sustainable for even units having lager investment capacities/turnover now brought under definition of MSME.

Decision of TAMC:

The Committee deliberated and viewed that the enhancement in existing subsidy cap under ATUFS will not influence the benefits of the MSME units though there is increase in investment/turnover limits under the new policy of MSME. Hence there is no need to revisit the existing subsidy cap at this stage.

30/08/20
17/08/2020

Agenda No. 7: Non availability of Year of Manufacturer in the machine purchased under ATUFS

AEPC has represented to consider the claims which are denied by the textile commissioner due to non-availability of year of manufacturer in which the procurement of machines has completed prior to 02.08.2018 on the basis of certificate issued by the manufacturer in the letter head giving full details of machine serial number and manufacturing year.

In addition to the above, Office of the Textile Commissioner is in receipt of the representations especially from garment segment that the condition of mentioning year of manufacturer in the machine is not met as per the GR under ATUFS but such claims are not considered. In this context, it is evident from the above that the requirement of “make, month/year of manufacturer, name of the manufacturer and serial number of all the benchmarks machineries are clearly indicated on machines” are still in force as per the GR dated 29.02.2016 in which the procurement has been completed prior to 02.08.2018.

Comments: As per para 6.4.2 under ATUFS dated 29.02.2016 it is mandatory that the make, month/year of manufacturer, name of the manufacturer and serial number of all the benchmarks machineries are clearly indicated on machines. The said condition is superseded; by para 10.2.4 of RR dated 02.08.2018 under ATUFS, to model number and serial number of machineries. However, the applicability of the revised norms is made effective for the cases where commercial date of procurement of machines is on or after 02.08.2018 vide OM 16011/02/2019 TUFS dated 13.08.2019 issued by MoT.

Juki India pvt ltd submitted the clarifications on Year of manufacturing on JUKI Machine Heads that,

They are mentioning Machine Model number/ Head sl.no/ country assembling/ Sub class model etc on the Machine Head Name plate, however they are not mentioning year of manufacturing on the model plate. But they have the procedure to find out the Month and Year of manufacturing from the head Sl. Nos. (e.g., Mfg.No.8M4LL00162)

Year	
P	1996
Q	1997
R	1998
S	1999
T	2000
U	2001
V	2002
W	2003
X	2004
Y	2005
Z	2006
A	2007
B	2008
C	2009
D	2010
E	2011

MONTH	
A	JAN
B	FEB
C	MAR
D	APR
E	MAY
F	JUN
G	JUL
H	AUG
J	SEP
K	OCT
L	NOV
M	DEC

30/11/20
17/8/2020

F	2012
G	2013
H	2014
J	2015
K	2016
L	2017
M	2018
N	2019

TMMA (Textile Machinery Manufacturers Association) may provide the information on share of such similarly placed machineries which has the year of manufacture in terms of Bar Codes.

TAMC may deliberate on mechanism to be implemented for decoding and modus of operandi aligning to the policy decisions as on date.

Decision of TAMC:

The Committee decided that a committee to be constituted under JTxC (T) along with members from AEPC / TMMA /CMAI to work out the modalities for operationalising the decoding of YoM from the barcode on the machine Name plate/Machine in a manner to ensure compliance to existing guidelines and facilitate the support.

Agenda No. 08: Decision taken in 7th ITC held on 07.07.2020 is placed before TAMC for ratification placed at Annexure- II at pg number 07-08.

Annexure – II to agenda for 17th TAMC scheduled at 3.00 PM on 29.07.2020 through VC

Decision of ITC for ratification by TAMC

Agenda No.1 of Annexure – II: Enlistment of M/s. MAFA Angelholm AB, Sweden engaged in the business of manufacturing of Silos and storages for different bulk material as machinery manufacturer under ATUFS.

M/s. MAFA Angelholm AB, Sweden is manufacturing only Silos for storage of bulk material and they are not supplying the complete lines for the non-woven fabric manufacturing. They have submitted a process flow with pictorial chart for different components of the non-woven line including Bulk SILOs, manufactured by different manufacturers

It can be covered under MC-3 G.1 “Complete production lines or the component / parts forming the production line for the manufacture of following non-woven’s upto rolled goods preparation and packing, viz.

The matter was placed in the 5th Internal Technical Committee meeting held on 27/01/2020 and the decision taken in reproduced below:

Decision Taken by ITC: Since, the Ministry of Textile’s jurisdiction starts only after coming out of Fibre/Yarn from spinneret onwards. Hence the backward process before the spinneret may not be recommended as eligible machine under ATUFS. However TAMC may take a view in the matter.

Handwritten signature
17/08/2020

Decision of TAMC

The committee decided that Internal Technical Committee (ITC) and ITTA may workout the modalities of its costing and applicability. A sub Committee may be constituted to resolve interpretations/ jurisdictional issues and suggest way forward.

Agenda No.2 of Annexure – II: Enlistment of M/s Jupiter Comtex Pvt. Ltd, Ahmedabad and its unit – II

Background: The matter had been raised during Technical Committee meetings dated 22.11.2018, 31.12.2018, and subsequently recommended to the 13th TAMC meeting (Agenda No. 11 point 'm'). It was decided that the OTXC shall enquire with the Income Tax department about the GST number of the company and its subsidiaries from which the machines were sold and invoiced. The same couldn't be procured.

Therefore, the company through TMMA(I) requested the OTXC to consider their case in parlance with the case of Benninger AG Switzerland. A decision was taken in favour of Benninger AG during the 14th TAMC meeting (Agenda no. 11 point 'd'). Since all the manufacturing units (I, II & III) of Jupiter Comtex are part of the same parent company Jupiter Comtex. A single GST number is being allotted to Jupiter Comtex, Ahmedabad, and is being applied within its ATUF application.

The matter was placed in the 4th Internal Technical Committee meeting held on 01/01/2020 and the decision taken in reproduced below:

Decision Taken: This matter does not pertain to ITC however, ITC was of the opinion that any number of units in the same State with the same GST number will be treated as a single unit and the Committee recommended for consideration in the TAMC meeting.

Decision of TAMC

The committee decided that M/s Jupiter Comtex Pvt. Ltd, Ahmedabad and its unit – II shall be treated as one unit namely “M/s Jupiter Comtex Pvt. Ltd, Ahmedabad”.

Agenda No. 3of Annexure – II:Ratifying list of machinery manufacturers/authorized agents recommended by Internal Technical Committee.

Enlistment of 7 machinery manufacturers & authorized agents as per Annexure-I recommended by the Internal Technical Committee in its 7th meeting held on 7/7/2020 is placed before the Committee for ratification. (Recommendation of ITC is listed as Annexure III to the Agenda of TAMC 29 July, 2020 being held through VC)

Decision of TAMC

The Committee ratified inclusion of 07 (seven) machinery manufacturers enclosed at Annexure-II (The same is already at main agenda no.3)

Agenda No. 4 of Annexure – II: Reviewing the validity of the list of 143 machine manufacturers enlisted by Expert Committee (Annexure II), by the TAMC.

36/21/20
17/08/2020

The matter was first placed in the 13th TAMC meeting held on 23.02.2019, wherein it was decided that all the 143 machinery manufacturers have to provide documents as specified by Technical Committee / TAMC. With this effect a Circular will be issued. The 143 machinery manufacturers have to submit the documents within 6 months from the date of Circular to be issued.

Accordingly a Circular No. 9 (2018-19 series) dated 27/3/2019 was issued asking all the machinery manufacturers enlisted under Annexure-II to submit the required documents within 6 months from the date of the Circular for restoring the names of the Machinery Manufacturers enlisted in Annexure-II.

As the time period of 6 months is already over the Committee may take a decision on delisting the machinery manufacturers under Annexure-II. (Now placed as Annexure IV to Agenda for TAMC 29 July, 2020 held through VC)

Decision of TAMC

The Committee decided that the requisite documents within three months from the date of asking documents for restoration as per 4th IMSC may be sought from the units due to non functionality of the website of Office of Textile Commissioner thereby non accessibility of Circular No. 9 (2018-19 series) dated 27/3/2019.

Agenda No. 5 of Annexure – II: Action to be taken with respect to the inclusion of machinery manufacturers who have not submitted proper embassy certification under ATUFS.

The matter was placed & discussed in the 1st Internal Committee Meeting held on 27/08/2019 wherein it was observed by the committee that the concerned Embassy / Consulate General have not certified but mentioned as "Seen in Embassy/ Embassy shall not held responsible for the documents" which is not as per Circular no.6 (2018-2019 series) dated 31.08.2018. Hence committee referred the matter to the forth coming TAMC for taking policy decision. Accordingly the matter was placed in the 14th TAMC meeting held on 25.09.2019, wherein it was decided that the machinery manufacturers should obtain required certificates from the Embassy. It has also been decided to simultaneously request Ministry of Textiles to take up the matter in respect of these machinery manufacturers with the concerned Embassies. Accordingly the matter was taken up with Ministry of Textile vide this office letter dt. 25/10/2019. In this connection, MOT has vide letter dt. 11/12/2019 conveyed the decision taken in the 4th meeting of IMSC held on 24/10/2019 to review the extant procedure for enlistment of machinery / accessory manufacturer in consultation with D/o Revenue, D/o Commerce and D/o Heavy Industries. In reply to MOT letter this office has vide letter dt. 31/01/2020 requested MOT to take up the issue with D/o Revenue, D/o Commerce and D/o Heavy Industries through Ministry for their suitable advice.

As around 160 applications are pending with this office due to want of proper Embassy Certificate, and till date this office has not received any suitable advice from MOT, the TAMC may advice on the next course of action to be taken in the matter.

Decision of TAMC:

The Committee decided to form Expert Committee comprising of ITC & TMMA (I) to work out operable modalities which are in compliance to the rules on this issue.

38/11/20
17/8/2020

Agenda No. 9: Consideration of in- principal loan sanction under ATUFS as per the representation of AEPC

The matter was initially placed on 9th TAMC held on 20.12.2017 under agenda point 09 as stated below:

“It was brought to the notice of the Committee that in a few cases, the units have produced invoices which are prior to the actual loan approval but have urged that they had received in principle term loan approval prior to the invoice date.

The Committee deliberated on the said issue and decided that as per guidelines of ATUFS (para 4.6.1), “The date of sanction of term loan shall be the date of the letter of the lending agency vide which the sanction of term loan is communicated to the entrepreneur. Therefore, there is no scope for consideration of date of in-principle term loan approval by lending agencies under ATUFS”.

TAMC may deliberate on the proposal in the light of the decision taken in 9th TAMC.

Decision of TAMC

The Committee decided that decision taken in the 9th TAMC shall continue.

Agenda No. 10: The additional agenda deferred to the next meeting for decision:

(a) Clarification of circular No 09 (2016-17) series dated 27.03.2017 for submission of MoU:

During 13th Meeting of TAMC held on 23.02.2019 agenda point 08 for clarification of circular No 09 (2016-17) series dated 27.03.2017 for submission of MoU has been deferred to the next meeting may be reviewed accordingly.

In the said agenda it was mentioned that circular no 09 (2016-17 series) issued on 27/03/2017 was for submission of MoU by dealer / Subsidiaries or authorized agents for inclusion under ATUFS.

Comments:For the cases where machinery manufacturers do not sell their machines directly and sell through their subsidiary/dealer in other countries, for considering while processing the claims under ATUFS the following is essential:

- The original manufacturer has to be enlisted as a manufacturer of the relevant machinery under ATUFS.
- In case of the subsidiary/authorised agent unit of the original enlisted overseas manufacturer then certificate in this effect issued by the parent/original manufacturer duly authenticated by respective Embassy/ Consulate General/Indian Embassy and for indigenous manufacturer then supported documents of RoC needs to be furnished

Participating in the discussion on this agenda and with the permission of the chair, Shri Raj Mittal, representative of Shawl Club, Ludhina has submitted additional agenda referring to circular No. 9 (2018-19 series) dated 27-03-2019 that in accordance to para 2 of said circular dated 27-03-2019, a certificate from respective Embassy / Consulate General/ Trade Council of the respective country either situated in

30/11/20
17/08/2020

their country or in India is required for enlistment of machine manufacturers. Hence, certificate issued by the trade council in place of Embassy/ Consulate General to be accepted for those countries where Embassies are not providing their clear recommendation like China, Indonesia, Turkey etc.

In this connection, the attention of Shri Mittal was invited to beginning para of Circular dated 27-03-2019 wherein it is clearly indicated that the following documents/information are required for restoring the names of the Machinery Manufacturers enlisted in Annexure-II (Indicative List of Machinery Manufacturer under ATUFS approved by the Expert Committee). Hence, said decision taken by the TAMC for specific purpose i.e. for Annexure-II (Indicative List of Machinery Manufacturer under ATUFS approved by the Expert Committee) cannot be considered for new cases. It was further clarified that the addition of word, Trade Council was permitted only for those countries where either Embassy or Consulate General is not located.

Decision of TAMC:

- 1. The Committee decided that for processing of claims under ATUFS, certificate of the subsidiary/authorised agent issued by the enlisted parent/original manufacturer duly authenticated by respective Embassy/ Consulate General/Indian Embassy or the respective Embassy located in India may be accepted.**
- 2. In case Embassy/ Consulate General/Indian Embassy are not located in the country then authentication of the certificate by Trade Council located in that country shall be accepted. For indigenous manufacturer supported documents of RoC to be accepted.**

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair.

38/11/20
17/08/2020

List of Participants of the 17th TAMC held on 29.07.2020

Sl no.	Name & designation of the participant	Organization
1	Smt. Roop Rashi Textile Commissioner & Chairman	Office of the Textile Commissioner
2	Ms. Usha Prahallad Pol, Dy. Director General & Member Secretary	Office of the Textile Commissioner
3	Shri Ajit B. Chavan, Addl. Textile Commissioner	Office of the Textile Commissioner
4	Shri S.P. Verma, Jt. Textile Commissioner	Office of the Textile Commissioner
5	Shri V.K. Kohli, Jt. Textile Commissioner	Office of the Textile Commissioner
6	Shri S. Balaraju, Executive Director	SRTEPC, Mumbai
7	Dr.K. Selvaraju, Secretary General	SIMA, Coimbatore
8	Dr.AnupRakshit, Executive director	ITTA, ED
9	Shri A Ravindrakumar	Texprocil
10	Shri Ankit Gupta	ICICI Bank
11	Shri AshtoshShinde	Yes Bank
12	Shri Bharat Gandhi	Surat Art Silk Association
13	Shri BrijeshGondalia	South Gujrat warp knitter association
14	Shri Chandan TUFs	Union Bank
15	Shri Charanjiv Singh, General secretary	Kniwear Club, Ludhiana
16	Shri ChetnaWaghmare	ICICI Bank
17	Shri Mohan Sadhwani	
18	Shri NarenGoenka, Vice Chairman	APEC
19	Shri PalavPatra	Axis Bank
20	Shri Rahul Khaparde	Bank of Baroda
21	Shri Raj Mittal	Knitwear
22	Shri RajasiBasu	
23	Shri Rohan Bishen	Axis Bank
24	Shri Sachin Arora	TMMA, Secretary
25	Shri Sanjeev, Secretary General	AEPC
26	Shri SumitKhowala	Hosiery Association, Ludhiana
27	Shri Sunil Patil	PEDEXUL
28	Shri Suresh	SIMA, Coimbatore
29	Sybil Marques,AD	SRTEPC
30	TUFs Help	SBI
31	Shri YaishaliYadhav	Union Bank of India
32	Shri VallabhThumar, Chairman	TMMA
33	Shri Vikram Mehta	Hosiery Organization Ludhiana
34	Shri VithalaniMehar	
35	Shri Dev kishankayath	Bank of Baroda
36	Shri R.B.Nair, Dy. Director	Office of the Textile Commissioner
37	Shri S.Sivakumar, Dy.Director	Office of the Textile Commissioner
38	Smt. Anusree Raha, Dy.Director	Office of the Textile Commissioner
39	Shri N.K.Gupta, Dy. Director	Office of the Textile Commissioner
40	Sri S.Mukherjee, Assistant Director	Office of the Textile Commissioner
41	Shri Narottam Kumar, Assistant director	Office of the Textile Commissioner
42	Sri B.K.Sahoo, Assistant Director	Office of the Textile Commissioner

30/7/20
17/08/2020